(15), 77 (26), 69 (10), 67 (31), 55 (25), 43 (12), 41 (20) (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{SO}_{3}, 318.1289$; found, 318.1285); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (PhH-d ${ }_{6}$ ) 211.0, $136.8,136.7,134.0,131.2,128.8,126.7,75.8,47.7,38.1,28.5,25.6,24.2$, 23.1, 22.5, 15.8 (q). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{SO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 67.89 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.96 ; \mathrm{S}$, 10.07. Found: C, $67.74 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.98 ; \mathrm{S}, 10.07$.

The mother liquor provided a second crop of crystals, and the resulting mother liquor showed a $59: 35 \mathrm{E}: Z \mathrm{Z}$ ratio. Partial spectra: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 5.83 (bs, 0.06 H ), 5.62 (bs, 0.59 H ), 5.32 (bs, 0.35 H ), 1.44 (s), 1.26 (s), 1.11 (s); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $67.9 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{PhH}-d_{6}$ ) 22.5 (q), 21.1 (q), 15.8 (q).

Method B from 20. To a stirred solution of acetate $20(85.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23$ mmol ) in 1.1 mL of dry $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ (previously deoxygenated by flushing with argon) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was cautiously added NaH ( 5.2 mg , 0.22 mmol ). The mixture was stirred for 2 h to give a clear, orangeyellow solution. Catalyst $29(12.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the mixture was heated to $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 15 min . After 45 min at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the
deep red-brown solution was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, concentrated in vacuo, and filtered through Florisil ( $5 \times 0.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) with EtOAc ( 20 mL ). Concentration in vacuo and preparative TLC purification, using three elutions with $20 \%$ acetone in hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), yielded the same product as above ( $35.8 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ) as an off-white solid, the physical and spectral characteristics of which were identical with those of the material obtained from reaction of the enol ether. The progress of the reaction can be followed by analytical TLC or NMR, and it clearly proceeds through the intermediacy of the enol ether 21.

Acknowledgment. We wish to thank the National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health, General Medical Sciences, for their generous support of our programs. We thank Johnson Matthey, Inc., and Englehard Industries for a generous supply of palladium chloride.

# Palladium-Catalyzed 1,3-Oxygen-to-Carbon Alkyl Shifts. A Cyclopentanone Synthesis 

Barry M. Trost* and Thomas A. Runge<br>Contribution from the McElvain Laboratories of Organic Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706. Received March 27, 1981


#### Abstract

A cyclopentanone synthesis emerges from the $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed isomerization of 5-vinyl-2-alkylidenetetrahydrofurans. Four routes into such species were developed. First, cyclization of $\beta$-keto esters leads to O-rather than C-alkylation. Second, olefination of 4 -vinyl lactones produced such substrates. The availability of such vinyl lactones from carbohydrates translates into a chiral synthesis of cyclopentanones. Third, 1 -(arylthio)cyclopropanecarboxaldehyde served as a conjunctive reagent to convert ketones into the requisite substrates. Fourth, methyl 6-oxo-2-hexynoate converts vinyl organometallics into 5 -vinyl-2-alkylidenetetrahydrofurans. In connection with this last conjunctive reagent, the intramolecular addition of a nucleophile to an ynoate is considered. The ability to direct the rearrangement to cyclopentanone or cycloheptenone formation [i.e., $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed [1.3] vs. [3.3] rearrangement] is considered. The application of this method to the synthesis of steroids and prostaglandins is presented.


The discovery of natural products that contain five-membered rings has flourished in the last two decades. While prostaglandins and their metabolic relatives and the rethrolones, representatives of monocyclic systems, provided a major stimulus, polycondensed cyclopentanoids such as the hirsutanes, ${ }^{1}$ capnellanes, ${ }^{2}$ pentalanes, ${ }^{3}$ zizaanes, ${ }^{4}$ isocomanes, ${ }^{5}$ and [3.3.3]propellanes ${ }^{6}$ provided an even greater challenge. Natural products that contain one five-membered ring as part of a more complex ring system are numerous. The vetivanes ${ }^{7}$ and steroids, which fall into this category, have stimulated much innovative work.

Among the most strategically innovative approaches have been the use of the intramolecular Alder ene reaction, ${ }^{8}$ the vinyl-

[^0]cyclopropane-cyclopentene rearrangement, ${ }^{9}$ and photochemical cycloadditions. ${ }^{10}$ Of the more classical approaches, one of the most useful would be intramolecular alkylation, as shown in eq 1. The thwarting of this approach due to the preference for O -

rather than C -alkylation derives from stereoelectronic considerations. ${ }^{11,12}$ The particular flexibility associated with a $\beta$-keto ester (i.e., 1 when $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) for structural elaboration imparts special importance to devise a route to achieve this transformation. ${ }^{13}$ Since bond-energy considerations suggest that 1 is more

[^1]stable than 2, could a pathway be found to effect an isomerization of $\mathbf{2} \rightarrow 1$ ? We considered this question in the context of an allyl derivative as the electrophile (eq 2). In the previous paper, ${ }^{14}$ we

discussed the basic process and the fact that a reordering of reactivity arises by use of transition-metal catalysts. In this paper, we wish to consider the synthetic implications, which include (1) general approaches to 2 -alkylidene- 5 -vinyltetrahydrofurans, (2) regiochemical control of the isomerization, and (3) stereochemistry of the allyl unit during the isomerization. ${ }^{15}$
Synthesis of 2-Alkylidene-5-vinyltetrahydrofurans via 1(Arylthio)cyclopropanecarboxaldehyde as a Conjunctive Reagent. The conceptually simplest approach is the direct intramolecular cyclization of the $\beta$-keto ester as represented in eq 2 . To the extent that allyl derivatives such as $\mathbf{3}$ are accessible, direct alkylation

of dianions ${ }^{16}$ serves as an excellent entry (eq 3). Difficulties in the synthesis of cyclic analogues of 3 led to the evolution of a new conjunctive reagent, 4, whose advantages are clearly illustrated by the comparison of eq $4 .{ }^{17}$ Using a standard approach to create

an alkylating agent of type 3 from cyclohexanone and then performing the dianion alkylation led to 5 after eight steps in 13\% overall yield. Utilizing 4 allows a slightly shorter sequence, with the overall yield almost tripling. For the present purposes, this approach focused on the synthesis of 6 as an entry into modified steroids. ${ }^{17}$


Synthesis of 2-Alkylidene-5-vinyltetrahydrofurans via Methyl 6-Oxohex-2-ynoate as a Conjugative Reagent. Equation 5 rep-


|5)
resents an alternative retrosynthetic analysis and suggests methyl

[^2]Scheme I. Synthesis of Conjunctive Reagent, Methyl
6-Oxohex-2-ynoate

${ }^{a}$ THF, HMPA, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b} \mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} .{ }^{c} n-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Li}$, THF, then $\mathrm{ClCO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} .{ }^{d} 0.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux.

Scheme II. Methyl 6-Oxohex-2-ynoate as Conjunctive Reagent



6 -oxohex-2-ynoate (7) ${ }^{18}$ as another type of conjunctive reagent for the synthesis of 2 -alkylidene- 5 -vinyltetrahydrofurans. Scheme I illustrates the ready availability of 7 in $36 \%$ overall yield from bromide $8^{19}$ which, in turn, arises from treatment of acrolein with anhydrous HBr in ethylene glycol. An attempt to use the anion of methyl propiolate ${ }^{20}$ with 8 to give 9 directly failed. Use of the dianion of propiolic acid ${ }^{21}$ with 8 followed by esterification with DBU (1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene) and methyl iodide gave 9 in only $25 \%$ yield. During the course of our work, an alternate synthesis of 7 in 11-13\% overall yield which utilized the less economical 1 -hydroxy-4-pentyne as the starting material appeared. ${ }^{18}$ The acetal 9 being perfectly stable for months at -6 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ proved to be a convenient storage point. For subsequent reactions, the aldehyde 7 normally contained $3-8 \%$ of acetal 9 . The ease of removal of the latter after addition of a Grignard reagent led us to utilize this mixture.

Vinyllithium reagents appear to lack chemoselectivity. On the other hand, conversion of the organolithium reagent to an organomagnesium reagent by addition of magnesium bromide (either as the solid or as a standard ether solution) led to selective addition to the aldehyde to give 10 and 11 (see Scheme II). Since chromatographic purification of the alcohol at best did not affect the subsequent cyclization and at worst caused substantial decomposition and since we wished to minimize the manipulation of any intermediates, the crude alcohol was directly cyclized.

The cyclization represents an example of a 5 -exo-digonal ring closure. ${ }^{22-25}$ The preferred angle ( $\alpha$ ) of approach (cf. 12) to

(18) During the time this work was under way, this compound, prepared by a different approach, was prepared for a quite different purpose. Coates, R. M.; Hutchin, C. W. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 4742.
(19) Büchi, G.; Wüest, H. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 1122.
(20) Corey, E. J.; Kim, D. U.; Chem, R. H. K. Takeda, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 4395.
(21) Ames, D. E.; Covell, A. H.; Goodburn, T. A. J. Chem. Soc. 1963, 5889. Carlson, R. M.; Oyler, A. R.; Peterson, J. R. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 1610.
acetylenes has been controversial. While an angle of $120^{\circ} \mathrm{had}$ been speculated, no experimental support exists, and theoretical arguments refute such a suggestion. Such an angle is unattainable for $13^{25}$ and $14^{24 a}$ and yet cyclization occurs. The latter is a

particularly good model for our case. Surprisingly, treatment of 10 with a wide variety of bases either failed or gave very little 15. For direct cyclization, use of 0.2 equiv of sodamide in toluene at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or simply stirring with Woelm alumina in methylene chloride at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ proved most efficient, although the yields were only $30 \%$ and $39 \%$, respectively.

Two rationales may be proffered. First, the question of angle of approach may be considered. If the original suggestion of an angle of $120^{\circ}$ has validity, this process is disfavored. However, such a rationale is hard to accept based upon the cases cited above (i.e., 13 and 14). In very recent work, examples related to 14 cyclized in $>80 \%$ yields. ${ }^{24 \mathrm{~b}}$ A second explanation considers the effect of the carbomethoxy group on the reversibility of the reaction (eq 6) When only a catalytic amount of a strong base is em-

ployed, as in the case of $\mathbf{1 4}$, the initial carbanion is rapidly and essentially irreversibly protonated. When $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in 17, protonation of the initial enolate is slowed and may then become reversible. Such a situation would allow competing decomposition pathways. Weak base simply gave no reaction.

For circumvention of the feared problems, the use of benzenesulfinate anion as a nucleophilic trigger was envisioned (eq 7).


Conjugate addition of the sulfinate anion converts a 5 -exo-digonal process into a favorable 5 -exo-trigonal process. ${ }^{12}$ Furthermore, the enolate 18 would be expected to preferentially expel the sulfinate anion to give product rather than alkoxide which returns it to starting material. Indeed, treatment of pure samples of $\mathbf{1 0}$ and 11 with 1 equiv of sodium benzenesulfinate in methanol at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ leads smoothly to the desired alkylidenetetrahydrofurans 15 and 16 in $80 \%$ and $98 \%$ yields, respectively (overall yields from 7 without purifying any intermediates were $52 \%$ and $54 \%$, respectively). In the case of 11 , the cyclization proceeded smoothly at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a purified sample, but required a slightly higher temperature $\left(45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for longer times with the crude sample.

The assignment of the $E, Z$ isomers is based on spectral arguments which parallel those presented for all of the previous al-

[^3]kylidenetetrahydrofurans. As before, the $Z$ isomers were found to undergo facile thermal isomerization to the thermodynamically more stable $E$ isomers. Therefore, spectra and reactions of $Z$ isomers were obtained as soon as possible after isolation. The allylic methylene protons of $(Z)-15$ are found as a pseudo triplet at $\delta 2.74$ which $270-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR reveals to be a d of d of d ( $J=9.4,7.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). The corresponding protons of $(E)-15$ are deshielded by their cis relationship to the carbonyl group of the ester, $\delta 3.28$ (dddd, $J=18.5,9.2,5.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and 3.02 (dtd, $J$ $=18.5,8.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). A major shift is also observed in the opposite direction for the methine of the tetrahydrofuran ring ( $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ). In ( $Z$ ) $-15 \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ is deshielded ( $\delta 5.00$ ) by the carbonyl of the ester, while it is found upfield ( $\delta 4.79$ ) in $(E)-15$.

The analysis of compound 16 is complicated by the mixture of four diastereomers present in each olefin isomer. The $270-\mathrm{MHz}$ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shows the allylic methylene protons of $(Z)-16$ as a pseudotriplet ( $\delta 2.73$ ) while the cis carbonyl group present in ( $E$ )-16 deshields and resolves these protons ( $\delta 3.27$ and 3.00). The ring methine proton is deshielded in $(Z)-16$ ( $\delta 5.06$ ) but is found upfield in ( $E$ )-16 ( $\delta 4.83$ ). The diastereomeric mixture is most evident in the $50.1-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, but the resolution of all peaks into four signals is not consistently observed (see Experimental Section). Final proof of any stereoselectivity in the aldehyde-addition step must await the Pd-catalyzed rearrangement and the removal of the ethoxy-ethoxy protecting group.
Synthesis of 2-Alkylidene-5-vinyltetrahydrofurans from Lactones. An attractive approach to the requisite substrates envisions an olefination of a vinyl lactone (eq 8). ${ }^{26}$ Such an approach is

particularly attractive because of the easy accessibility of such vinyl lactones from carbohydrates. ${ }^{27}$ Such starting materials confer special significance on this methodology as a route that can control absolute as well as relative stereochemistry. Thus, we focused our efforts on the olefination of a vinyl lactone from a carbohydrate.

Lactone 19, available from mannose as previously described, ${ }^{27}$ resisted all attempts to react with Wittig or Emmons-Wads-worth-Horner reagents. On the other hand, reaction of 1 -(diethylamino) propyne and anhydrous magnesium bromide ${ }^{28}$ produces the conjugated amide 20 in $87 \%$ yield as a single stereoisomer. The $E$ configuration is evidenced by the abnormally




$2 \because$
?! !
downfield shift of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}(\delta 5.28)$ and the homoallylic coupling of 0.6 Hz between $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and the vinyl methyl. Also the examples in the literature indicate the reaction to be highly stereoselective in this manner. The IR absorption at $1605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is broad and strong, presumably caused by both the conjugated amide and the enol ether. The relative stereochemistry of the acetonide and the

[^4]Table I. Pd-Catalyzed Rearrangement of 20

| entry | conditions | product, \% yield |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20 | 26 | 27 |
| $1^{a, b}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \%(\text { dppe })_{2} \mathrm{Pd}, \\ & \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h} \end{aligned}$ |  | 35 | 27 |
| $2^{c}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \%\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}, \\ & \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 47 \mathrm{~h} \end{aligned}$ | 73 | 20 | 6 |
| $3^{a}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \text { (dppe) }{ }^{\mathrm{Pd}} \\ & \text { dioxane, } 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h} \end{aligned}$ |  | 93 |  |

${ }^{a}$ Yields determined by TLC isolation. ${ }^{b}$ In addition $11 \%$ of 28 and $10 \%$ of 29 isolated. ${ }^{c}$ Yield determined by HPLC.

1 -propenyl substituent is dictated by the method of synthesis and supported by a value for $J_{b c}$ of 4.1 Hz . The cis nature of the 1 -propenyl group is shown by the $11.0-\mathrm{Hz}$ coupling constant between the olefinic protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and the 13.5-ppm chemical shift of the vinyl methyl group in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum.

A two-step procedure involves initial addition of the lithium enolate of tert-butyl acetate to the carbonyl group of 19 at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF and isolation of the stable $\beta$-hydroxy ester. ${ }^{29}$ Subsequent treatment with methanesulfonyl chloride in the presence of DBU yielded the desired alkylidenetetrahydrofuran 21 in $91 \%$ yield as an easily separable mixture of olefin isomers ( $E / Z: 2 / 1$ ). The coupling constants of interest, $J_{\mathrm{bc}}$, were easily discernible in the $270-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{E}, 4.0 \mathrm{~Hz} ; Z, 3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). It is known that compounds with the opposite relative stereochemistry exhibit $J_{\mathrm{bc}}$ values of approximately $0 \mathrm{~Hz}{ }^{27}$ The assignment of the olefin stereochemistry of $\mathbf{2 1}$ is made on the basis of the $270-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data and thermodynamics. The minor isomer rearranges to the major isomer upon heating and, on the basis of previous observations, would be assigned the $Z$ geometry. Furthermore, it possesses an $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ( $\delta 5.12$ ) upfield relative to the major $E$ isomer ( $\delta 5.71$ ). This is a consequence of the deshielding effect of the carbonyl group of the diethyl amide on cis substituents. Both isomers show strong IR bands at 1700 and $1655 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the conjugated ester and enol ether.

Formation of Cyclopentanones. With the availability of the requisite substrates from a wide variety of approaches, attention focused on the rearrangement to cyclopentanones. For synthetic applications, several questions of stereochemistry remain to be established. Assuming the ionization generates 22 (see Discussion), the mechanism of its collapse determines its stereochemical fate. If collapse involves backside displacement as has been established for the intermolecular processes (eq 9 , path a), ${ }^{30}$ formation of 23

results. On the other hand, initial coordination of the oxallyl unit (the enolate) with palladium followed by reductive elimination forms 24 (eq 9, path b). ${ }^{31} \quad$ Furthermore, if syn-anti interconversion (i.e., $\mathbf{2 2} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{2 5}$ ) competes with cyclization, a mixture of

[^5]stereoisomers would likely result. Synthetically, conversion of the stereochemistry of the starting material into the stereochemistry of the product (i.e., a stereospecific reaction) would be most useful.

Substrates 20 and 21 offer an opportunity to examine this question. Initial attention focused on amide 20 with the results summarized in Table I. In the first reaction (Table I, entry 1), four products were isolated after TLC purification: 26-29.





28
29
However, repetition of the reaction in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$ with NMR monitoring revealed no evidence for 28 and 29. Furthermore, these products were absent in the product mixtures from the other rearrangements. At present, we conclude that 28 and 29 are artifacts of that particular run and/or reaction or isolation conditions. Most striking is the isolation of cycloheptenone 27-a type of product that heretofore had not been seen. As eq 9 reveals, such a product must arise from the intermediate of syn-anti interconversion, $\mathbf{2 5}$, since formation of a seven-membered ring from 22 would place a trans double bond in a seven-membered ring. Further elaboration of this point is reserved for the Discussion section. Suffice it to say at this point, rational manipulation of reaction conditions allows control of the product distribution. For example, use of triphenylphosphine as a ligand increases the ratio of five- to seven-membered rings from 1.3 to 3.3 (Table I, entry 2) under otherwise similar conditions. Most dramatically, change of solvent totally reorients the reaction toward exclusive formation of the cyclopentanone (Table I, entry 3).

Compounds 26-29 were isolated pure and fully characterized. The cyclopentanone 26 shows typical carbonyl bands at 1750 and $1620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ consistent for the ketone and tertiary amide. The $270-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum reveals all of the protons: $\delta 1.11$ (t, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.68(\mathrm{dd}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.73 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5,4.9,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{c}), 4.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, 5.48 (ddq, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.0,10.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ), 5.82 (dqd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=11.0,7.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ). The $11.0-\mathrm{Hz}$ value for $J_{\mathrm{de}}$ and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift of $\delta 13.3$ for the vinyl methyl carbon confirm the presence of the ( $Z$ )-1-propenyl group. The substantial value for $J_{b c}(4.9$ Hz ) demonstrates the retention of the cis relative stereochemistry for the 1 -propenyl group and the acetonide from that of the starting material.
The assignment of stereochemistry at the quaternary carbon is indicated by the deshielding effect of the carbonyl group of the diethylamide on $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}(\delta 3.73)$ due to their cis relationship and the upfield shift of the angular methyl carbon atom ( $\delta 17.8$ ) due to "steric compression" (the $\gamma$ effect) by the cis-1-propenyl substituent. The $S$ configuration is further supported by the results of a nuclear Overhauser enhancement (NOE) experiment. ${ }^{32}$ Mild irradiation of the angular methyl group at a variety of power levels resulted in a maximum enhancement of the signal for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ by $16.5 \%$. The strong distance relationship of this effect assures that the angular methyl is cis to the 1 -propenyl substituent.

Cycloheptenone 27 distinguished itself by its 1725- and $1620-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ IR absorptions for both the ketone and tertiary amide carbonyl groups. The ( $Z$ )-olefin geometry is shown by the $10.5-\mathrm{Hz}$ coupling constant between $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$. Irradiation at $\delta 3.54\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ effects the following perturbations: (1) the collapse of the allylic
(32) Noggle, J. H.; Shirmer, R. E. "The Nuclear Overhauser Effect: Chemical Applications"; Academic Press: New York, 1971.

Table II. Pd-Catalyzed Rearrangement of $(E)$ - and ( $Z$ )-21

| entry | 21 <br> $E$ or $Z$ isomer | conditions | product, \% y ield |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $30^{\text {a }}$ | 31 | 32 |
| $1^{\text {b }}$ | $E$ | $6 \%$ (dppe) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$, $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 100$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ |  |  | 64 |
| $2^{\text {b }}$ | $Z$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \%\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd} \\ \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 60 \\ { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~h} \end{gathered}$ |  | 35 | 50 |
| $3^{c}$ | $E$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \%\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd} \\ & \text { dioxane, } 100 \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h} \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 38 | 28 |
| $4^{c, d}$ | $Z$ | $3 \%$ PS -Pd, dioxane, 100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11^{d} \\ & 17^{c} \end{aligned}$ | $75^{d}$ 79 | $\begin{gathered} 5^{d} \\ 4^{c} \end{gathered}$ |
| $5^{c, d}$ | $E$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \%, \text { PS }-\mathrm{Pd}, \\ & \mathrm{PhCH}_{3}, \\ & \text { O, } \mathrm{N} \text {-bis, }{ }^{e} 100 \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 10 \mathrm{~h} \end{aligned}$ | $98^{\prime}$ |  | 2 |
| $6^{b}$ | $E$ | above, then $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 82 |  |

${ }^{a}$ Exists as a mixture of keto and enol forms. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield(s) after TLC purification. ${ }^{c}$ Yield determined by HPLC. ${ }^{d}$ Yield determined by NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}$-bis $=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}$-bis(trimethylsilyl) acetamide. $f$ Formed as the enol silyl ether of 30.
methyl group protons at $\delta 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) to a singlet, (2) the collapse of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ (ddd, $\left.J=10.5,6.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ to a d of $\mathrm{d}(J=$ $10.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, (3) the collapse of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ to a d of $\mathrm{d}(J=10.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and (4) a sharpening of the multiplet corresponding to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$. Subsequent irradiation of these last protons ( $\delta 5.14$ ) collapsed $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ (quintet of $\mathrm{t}, J=6.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) to a quintet of $\mathrm{d}(J=6.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

The extraordinary homoallylic coupling of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}\left(J_{\mathrm{bc}}=\right.$ 1.3 Hz ) requires the allylic methyl group to be cis to the acetonide so that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ can both be oriented parallel with the p orbitals of the olefin for maximum transmission of spin information. ${ }^{33}$ The abnormal downfield shift of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}(\delta 3.54)$ indicates that it is deshielded by a cis relationship to the diethylamide carbonyl. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, the allylic methyl and angular methyl groups appear to be shielded by "steric compression" (the $\gamma$ effect) and absorb at $\delta 16.5$ and 13.5 , respectively. All of these spectral arguments are supported by the results of a single-crystal X-ray structural determination, ${ }^{34}$ which clearly shows that the acetonide, the allylic methyl, and the angular methyl are all on the same face of the cycloheptenone ring. Bond distances and angles, as well as an ORTEP drawing of the molecule, may be found in the Appendix (supplementary material), which appears in the microfilm version of the Journal. The characterization of 28 and 29 appears in the Experimental Section.

A similar competition between five- and seven-membered ring products was observed in the rearrangement of 21 as summarized in Table II. The rearrangement of 21 occurred as fast as the catalyst dissolved to give a single product, the cycloheptenone 32 (Table II, entry 1). The much more rapid rearrangement of 21



$30^{a}$
31 32
compared to 20 is consistent with the oxidative addition being the

[^6]

Figure 1. Polymer-bound Pd catalyst.
rate-determining step and with the enolate of a $\beta$-keto ester being a better leaving group than the enolate of a $\beta$-keto amide. The relative leaving group abilities of the two systems may be correlated to the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{A}}$ 's in which the more acidic compound would be the better leaving group. An approximate difference of $2 \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{A}}$ units ( $\beta$-keto ester 14.8 and $\beta$-keto amide 16.8$)^{35}$ may be estimated. Most significantly, consistent with eq 9 , the exclusive formation of the seven-membered ring indicates syn-anti interconversion competes effectively with the initial C -alkylation.

This increased competition must be interpreted in terms of a decreased rate of C -alkylation, since a change in the intermediate enolate would not be expected to affect greatly the rate of syn-anti interconversion of the $\pi$-allyl moiety. The lower basicity of the $\beta$-keto ester enolate would explain the decreased rate of alkylation if a correlation between basicity and nucleophilicity of similar types of anions ( $\beta$-dicarbonyl-like) were valid. Regardless, the selective formation of cycloheptenone 32, rather than cyclopentanone ( $\mathbf{3 0}$ or 31), from the intermediate related to 25 in eq 9 is due to the bulky nucleophile's selectivity for the less-hindered alkylation pathway "c" via attack at the primary rather than secondary carbon.
In an attempt to force the intermediate to close to the cyclopentanone, the reaction with (dppe) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ was repeated in THF to inhibit syn-anti interconversion. These conditions ( $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ) did not provide C -alkylation, and only the inverted O -alkylation product, 33 , was formed and isolated in $47 \%$ yield. It was found

to possess $J_{\mathrm{bc}}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, further supporting the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR evidence for stereochemistry. This suggests that the rate-determining step (RDS) for (dppe) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ in THF solvent is the intramolecular C alkylation.

Use of the more bulky $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}$ catalyst in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ does increase cyclopentanone formation (Table II, entry 2), but the resultant mixture of products was not satisfactory. Further improvement in regiochemical control (Table II, entry 3) arises from use of dioxane as solvent, but still cycloheptenone 32 contaminated our desired cyclopentanones 30 and 31. The employment of a newly developed, polymer-bound analogue to $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}$, palladium(0) attached to $2 \%$ cross-linked porous polystyrene beads, ${ }^{36}$ in dioxane would be expected to be even more selective than $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}$.

While the polymeric system is difficult to define, it is known that $62 \%$ of the phenyl rings are phosphinylated and that the catalyst contains $3.76 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ of palladium. Two of the possible bonding modes are depicted in Figure 1. Use of a portion of the catalyst (corresponding to $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ palladium with respect to enol ether ( $Z$ )-21) in dioxane resulted in a mixture of cyclopentanone products, with only $5 \%$ contamination by cycloheptenone 32 (Table II, entry 4).

[^7]The major cyclopentanone product 31 apparently arises by decarboalkoxylation of $\mathbf{3 0}$ caused by trace amounts of protic impurity. This process also occurred in $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}$ at reflux and resulted in a nearly identical mixture of products. The problem was easily solved by adding 2-4 equiv of $O, N$-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide to the reaction mixture before heating. The resulting proton-free environment allows the formation of $98 \%$ of the enol silyl ether (35) of the desired cyclopentanone 30, contaminated by a trace ( $2 \%$ ) of the cycloheptenone 32 (Table II, entry 5). This mixture can then be heated in wet $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ to effect clean decarboalkoxylation and provide 31 in $82 \%$ isolated yield (Table II, entry 6).

Compounds 30-32 were isolated pure and fully characterized. Cyclopentanone 30 existed as a $64: 36$ ratio of keto to enol forms (enol form 34), each one of which was easily resolved in the

$270-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. The absorptions assigned to $\mathbf{3 0}$ are $\delta 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{dd}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7,1.7$ Hz ), 3.36 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ), 3.56 (dddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1$, $9.5,4.0,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ), $4.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 4.70(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 5.53(\mathrm{ddq}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5,9.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ), 5.76 (dqd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5,6.7,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ). The $10.5-\mathrm{Hz}$ value for $J_{\mathrm{dc}}$ and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift of $\delta 13.4$ for the vinyl methyl carbon confirm the presence of the ( $Z$ )-1-propenyl group. The large $J_{\mathrm{bc}}$ $(4.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ confirms that the acetonide is cis to the 1-propenyl substituent, and the large $J_{\mathrm{cf}}(12.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ shows that these protons are trans to one another, as is expected.

The remainder of the spectrum corresponds to enol 34: $\delta 1.35$ (s, 3 H ), 1.45 ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.72 (dd, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.79 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.2,6.1,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ), $4.67(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 4.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 5.35(\mathrm{ddq}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.9$, $\left.10.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}\right), 5.63\left(\mathrm{dqd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.9,6.7,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}\right.$ ). The values for $J_{\text {de }}(10.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ vinyl methyl shift ( $\delta 13.3$ ) corroborate the ( $Z$ )-1-propenyl group which is cis to the acetonide due to the large value for $J_{b c}(6.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The enol form is evident in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shift of the olefinic carbon bearing the ester group ( $\delta 104.4$ ) and in the IR band at $1660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The hydroxyl band is seen at $3250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the ester carbonyl at 1720 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Chemical correlation of the tautomeric nature of these two compounds, 30 and 34 , was achieved by decarboalkoxylation of the mixture (by heating in moist $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ ) to provide a single compound, 31.

The $270-\mathrm{MHz}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude compound 35 was sufficiently clean to verify its structure. Irradiation at $\delta 3.81\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ caused the following perturbations: (1) the collapse of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ (ddq, $J=11.0,10.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ to a d of $\mathrm{q}(J=11.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, (2) the collapse of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{dqd}, J=11.0,7.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ to a d $(J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The value of $J_{\text {de }}(11.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ confirms the ( $Z$ )-1-propenyl group, which is cis to the acetonide due to the large value for $J_{b c}$ ( 6.1 Hz ). The IR spectrum now shows only the olefin band of the enol at $1630 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the ester carbonyl group at $1705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

The decarboalkoxylated cyclopentanone derivative 31 exhibits a single carbonyl band at $1755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum. The $Z$ nature of the olefin is shown by $J_{\mathrm{de}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shift of the vinyl methyl carbon ( $\delta 13.2$ ). The two protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$ represent the $A B$ portion of an $A B X$ pattern, $X=H_{c}$. Irradiation of $H_{c}$ ( $\delta 3.13$ ) effects the following transformations: (1) the collapse of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{ddq}, J=11.0,10.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ to a d of $\mathrm{q}(J=10.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, (2) the collapse of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{dqd}, J=10.6,6.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ to a d of $\mathrm{q}(J$ $=10.6,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, (3) the collapse of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{m})$ to $\delta 2.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.4$ Hz ) and $2.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and (4) the collapse of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ to a d $(J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$. This substantial value for $J_{\mathrm{bc}}(4.3$ Hz ) demonstrates the retention of the cis relationship of the 1 -propenyl group and the acetonide.

Cycloheptenone 32 distinguished itself by its 1740 - and $1715-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ IR bands for both the ester and ketone carbonyl groups. The $Z$ nature of the olefin is shown by the $10.8-\mathrm{Hz}$ coupling
constant between $H_{d}$ and $H_{c}$. Irradiation at $\delta 3.07\left(H_{c}\right)$ effects the following perturbations: (1) the collapse of the allylic methyl group protons $\delta 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) to a singlet, (2) the collapse of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ (ddd, $J=10.8,6.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) to a d of d $(J=10.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, (3) the collapse of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ to a d of $\mathrm{d}(J=10.8$, 1.9 Hz ), (4) the collapse of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ to a singlet, and (5) the collapse of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{ddt}, J=8.3,2.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ to a d of d of d ( $J=8.3,2.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).

As was discussed for cycloheptenone 27, the extraordinary homoallylic coupling of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}(1.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ requires the allylic methyl group to be cis to the acetonide. The downfield shift of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ( $\delta 3.07$ ) indicates that it is deshielded by a cis relationship to the ester group. Furthermore, the large value of $J_{\mathrm{cf}}(12.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ assures the trans orientation of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$. The allylic methyl carbon is found at $\delta 18.3$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra bear great resemblance to those of the amide analogue 27, whose structure was verified by X-ray analysis (vide supra).

The potential of this methodology in steroid synthesis led us to consider the highly functionalized 36 as a target. The benefit

of the 11-oxo substituent is well-known from research into contraceptive agents ( $11 \beta$-methyl) ${ }^{37}$ and the corticoid antiinflammatory agents ( $11 \beta$-hydroxy) ${ }^{38}$ Less well-known is the necessity for higher oxidation levels at $\mathrm{C}-18$ in the glucocorticoids and the antidiuretic aldosterone. ${ }^{38}$ The bromine substituent at $\mathrm{C}-3$ provides the chemical handle to attach a wide variety of different atoms and groups in the constant search for more potent drugs with fewer undesirable side effects. Many plants contain steroid alkaloids possessing a trialkylamine at $\mathrm{C}-3 .{ }^{39}$

Rearrangement of 6 proceeded smoothly upon subjection to $5 \%$ (dppe) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h to give an $81 \%$ yield of the desired cyclopentanone 37 as a $93: 7$ ratio of the $E$ and $Z$

isomers. By combining all of the impurity bands from the TLC purifications of several large-scale reactions of enol ether 6, it was possible to isolate a very small portion ( $<5 \%$ ) of the other possible regioisomer, the cycloheptenone 39 as a $33: 67$ stereoisomeric

mixture. This product showed IR bands at 1740 and $1714 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; the cyclopentanone 37 possessed these bands at 1765 and 1725 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The most definitive difference was in the UV spectra of the two compounds, 37 ( $5.4 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ in MeOH ), 271 ( $\in 17040$ ), $279(17960)$, and 291 (11110) nm, and $39\left(9.4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}), 258(\epsilon=1600), 263(1700)$, and $270(1600) \mathrm{nm}$. The hypsochromic shift of 39 is further evidence for the cycloheptenone

[^8]structure which lacks the styrene chromophore.
To finish the synthesis of the secosteroid analogue, we alkylated the cyclopentanone 37 by tert-butyl iodoacetate with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in acetone to give $86 \%$ of the C -alkylation product 38 as a single stereoisomer (as indicated by $50.1-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR). A similar intermediate, 40, has been recently converted to ( $\pm$ )-11-

oxoequilenin methyl ether ${ }^{40}$ by a simple four-step procedure in $52 \%$ overall yield. A similar set of reactions on 38 should yield the highly flexible steroidal analogue, 36.

The cyclopentanone 41 constituted a second target since alkylation and decarbomethoxylation transform it to 11-deoxy-PGE, a source of several biologically active prostaglandin analogues. ${ }^{41-43}$


41
The (dppe) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ catalyst ( $7 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ ) smoothly induces the rearrangement of the tetrahydrofuran 16 in the presence of $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}$-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide for prevention of decarbomethoxylation (eq 11). Removal of the ethoxyethyl

protecting group with acetic acid in aqueous THF to generate 41 simplifies the characterization. Use of benzene- $d_{6}$ as solvent in the $270-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum resolves the signals for the olefinic protons and for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ (see formula 41) for the two diastereomers. An olefinic coupling constant of $J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ confirms the pure $E$ geometry. The trans ring stereochemistry is suggested by $J_{\mathrm{ab}}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}$. An approximately equal ratio of diastereomers is indicated by two signals for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ at 2.77 and 2.79. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum further supports that interpretation by a doubling of peaks for $\mathrm{C}(3)$ ( $\delta 43.6$ and 43.7, two d) and $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)(\delta 72.3,72.4$, two d). The isomeric nature of the mixture was proven by obtention of a satisfactory combustion analysis. For completeness, alkylidenetetrahydrofuran 15 was also rearranged to its cyclopentanone 43 (eq 12) under our standard conditions. These two

examples, which proceed in 34-43\% overall yield, demonstrate the efficiency of converting a vinylorganometallic to the versatile 3 -vinyl-2-carbomethoxycyclopentanones (eq 13).


[^9]Scheme III. Rearrangement Pathway of 2-Alkylidene-5vinyltetrahydrofuran


## Discussion

In addition to the synthetic aspects, the experiments provide a much better understanding of the rearrangement and the nature of palladium-catalyzed alkylations. Firstly, the stereochemical specificity is extraordinarily high, as shown by the rearrangement of the carbohydrate substrates 20 and 21 . In considering the consequences of these results with respect to the allylic carbon bearing oxygen, $\mathrm{C}(5)$, we should anticipate that the initial ionization occurs with inversion of configuration. ${ }^{30}$ All of the intermolecular alkylations appear to involve such a stereochemical result. Most pertinent to the present results is the rearrangement of 44 , which produced a single product 45 (eq 14), which results

from ionization from a single conformer of 44 and the ionization and substitution steps each proceeding with inversion of configuration. ${ }^{44}$ In the present case, assuming that the initial ionization occurs with inversion as for the typical bimolecular displacements, an overall picture emerges as summarized in Scheme III. Ionization from Ia is disfavored due to the unfavorable eclipsing interactions associated with forming the anti-anti complex IIa. The anti-syn complex IIb, which arises by ionization from conformer Ib, can either undergo syn-anti interconversions via $\sigma$ complex IIIa or IIIb or ring closure at O or C to form fivemembered rings, the tetrahydrofurans I or cyclopentanones $V$, respectively. Any seven-membered ring products arising from IIb would necessarily contain a trans double bond (e.g., VIII) and therefore is rather unlikely. Syn-anti interconversion to IVb is required for formation of seven-membered-ring products. Thus, the high selectivity in virtually all the rearrangements for cyclopentanone formation arises from a more rapid C -alkylation for IIb compared to the syn-anti interconversions of the $\pi$-allyl unit.

The carbohydrates 20 and 21 represent the exception; i.e., syn-anti interconversion not only competes but can dominate. This
(44) Trost, B. M.; Klun, T. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 6756.
change in reactivity can be understood by considering the ringclosure step as a simple displacement in which the leaving group happens to be palladium, such as in $\mathbf{4 6}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{PdL}_{2}$. The presence

of the adjacent acetonide generally decreases the rate of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ displacements in systems like 47. By slowing the alkylation, the syn-anti interconversion now successfully competes. The two possible isomerizations involve the $\sigma$-complexes IIIa and IIIb. While isomerization via IIIa produces the thermodynamically most stable $\pi$-allyl complex, the syn,syn isomer IVa, no cycloalkanones arise from this isomer. As in the case of the anti,syn complex IIb, geometrical considerations preclude the formation of sevenmembered ring products, i.e., formation of VIII, and the presence of the acetonide hampers cyclopentanone formation. On the other hand, O-alkylation produces VII, a type of product that has been isolated from 21, i.e., 33. The steric and electronic demands of an oxygen nucleophile such as in 46b would be expected to be much less stringent than that of a carbon nucleophile as in 46a. Thus, while C-alkylation for formation of the cyclopentanone does not compete with syn-anti interconversion, five-membered-ring formation via O -alkylation does. Such O -alkylation from IIb regenerated starting material, and it is thus an invisible reaction.

This same O -alkylation product can arise from the syn, anti complex IVb which would be the product of a further syn-anti interconversion from IVa via IIIc. Alternatively, IVb can arise via two syn-anti interconversions that proceed via IIIb to the anti,anti complex. Since syn-anti interconversion is facilitated by additional ligation, the possibility of internal coordination as in IIIb may favor such a pathway over the alternative. Internal alkylation to form the cyclopentanone IX from IVb suffers from the problems mentioned above, whereas alkylation at the more distal carbon of the $\pi$-allyl unit to form cycloheptenone minimizes the effect of the acetonide. Indeed, in the case of $\mathrm{IVb}, \mathrm{C}$-alkylation proceeds exclusively to form VI in the carbohydrate series.

For cyclopentanone formation, syn-anti interconversion must be disfavored relative to C -alkylation. Sterically small ligands facilitate formation of $\sigma$-palladium species. Thus, syn-anti interconversion should decrease in the order dppe $>\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}>$ (PS)- Pd as observed. Furthermore, the known ability of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ to serve as an additional ligand to palladium which results in increased rates of syn-anti interconversion ${ }^{45}$ should and experimentally does increase seven-membered-ring product at the expense of fivemembered. Thus, for the carbohydrate 21, use of the smallest ligand in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ (maximum rate for syn-anti interconversion) gives only cycloheptenone, but use of the largest ligand in toluene (minimum rate for syn-anti interconversion) gives only cyclopentanone. In cases that do not possess the perturbing acetonide group, C -alkylation normally dominates syn-anti interconversion, and five-membered ring formation is the expected and observed course.

The stereochemical control is complete. As implied in the sequence $\mathrm{Ib} \rightarrow \mathrm{IIb} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ (Scheme III), an overall retention of stereochemistry at $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{a})$ with no allyl inversion is observed. Since related results support the hypothesis that the initial ionization proceeds with inversion of configuration, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond-forming step also must occur with inversion. A similar explanation applies to the stereochemical course for cycloheptenone formation except that overall retention of stereochemistry with allyl inversion is observed. The remarkable formation of a single stereoisomer at the carbon $\alpha$ to the carbonyl groups in 26 and 27 can be understood considering nonbonded interactions in the transition states as discussed previously. ${ }^{14}$ For example, comparison of 48 and 49
(45) Faller, J. W. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 16, 211. Tsutsui, M.; Courtney, A. Ibid. 1977, 16, 241.

Scheme IV. Summary of Overall Synthetic Transformations

reveals a substantial nonbonded interaction between the carbonyl group and the acetonide ( $\mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}$ ) in the latter. Thus, only the product derived from 48 forms.


The rearrangement of 6 further verifies the greater rate of the oxidative addition to the allyl system compared to the aryl bromide. ${ }^{46}$ Since the aryl bromide must insert with retention of configuration whereas the allyl system proceeds with inversion, inversion mechanisms for palladium( 0 ) appear to be of lower energy than retention mechanisms.
In the course of the rearrangements, we discovered a very mild procedure to effect a decarboalkoxylation of tert-butyl esters (eq $15, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}-t$ ). Such decarboalkoxylations of methyl esters

have generally been discussed in terms of nucleophilic dealkylation of the ester followed by loss of carbon dioxide. ${ }^{47}$ Such a mechanism is unlikely operable for a tert-butyl ester. It is more reasonable to assume that hydroxylic impurities facilitate an ionization of the tert-butyl carbenium ion which subsequently loses carbon dioxide. The role of such impurities is inferred from the ability of $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}$-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide to preclude the decarboalkoxylation. The mildness of the reaction conditions is demonstrated by the decomposition of $\mathbf{3 0}$ when the reaction is attempted any other way. It is interesting to note that even in the case of methyl esters (eq $15, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) decarbomethoxylation accompanies rearrangement in both dioxane and $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO} .{ }^{15,17}$ Once again, addition of $O, N$-bis(trimethylsilyl) acetamide eliminates this reaction.

[^10]
## Conclusions

Four general routes to the preparation of 2-alkylidene-5vinyltetrahydrofurans with any of several electron-withdrawing groups [EWG $=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{CON}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}$ ] have been developed and are summarized in Scheme IV. Most noteworthy is the development of the two conjunctive reagents 4 and 7 as synthetic precursors. Conjunctive reagent 4 makes ketones, among the most accessible compounds, excellent building blocks. The wide variety of vinylorganometallic conjunctive reagents available in stereodefined form, especially from acetylenes, makes conjunctive reagent 7 especially valuable. Each of these has been demonstrated by the synthesis of a steroid and a prostaglandin precursor, respectively.

Two methods for the olefination of 4 -vinyl- $\gamma$-butyrolactones have been developed. The availability of such substrates from carbohydrates suggests the applicability of this method in the synthesis of enantiomerically pure products.

2-Alkylidene-5-vinyltetrahydrofurans smoothly rearrange in excellent yield and with excellent stereocontrol to cyclopentanones in every case. In the case of EWG $=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}-t$, either the simple rearrangement product bearing the carboalkoxy group or the cyclopentanone which has undergone decarboalkoxylation may be isolated depending upon the reaction conditions. The presence of the EWG allows further regiocontrolled elaboration. When $E W G=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{R}$, alkylation followed by decarboalkoxylation then constitutes an efficient approach to $2,3-\mathrm{di}$ substituted cyclopentanones (eq 16). When $\mathrm{EWG}=\mathrm{PhSO}_{2}$,

regiocontrolled enolate generation and alkylation provide a stereocontrolled synthesis of 2,2,3-trisubstituted cyclopentanones.

This cyclopentanone synthesis represents the equivalent of a palladium-catalyzed 1,3 -rearrangement; the availability of cycloheptenones in some cases represents the equivalent of a pal-ladium-catalyzed 3,3 -rearrangement (Claisen rearrangement). The inaccessibility of such rearrangements thermally in sterically hindered systems suggests more extensive applicability for this palladium-mediated version. A seemingly related [3.3] rearrangement of 1,5 -dienes apparently proceeds via a much different mechanism considering $\operatorname{Pd}(2+)$ catalyzes that reaction, whereas $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ catalyzes the one reported herein..$^{48}$ A most important aspect is the degree of control over reaction pathways offered by the use of transition-metal templates. By understanding of the delicate interplay of ligand effects in reactivity of such complexes, simple and rational variation of reaction conditions permits the same substrate to traverse quite disparate reaction pathways. In that fact lies both the strength and the challenge of transition-metal-mediated synthetic reactions.

## Experimental Section

General. All reactions were run under a positive pressure of dry nitrogen unless otherwise noted. Anhydrous reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware which was cooled under nitrogen. Anhydrous solvents were transferred by oven-dried syringe. Solvents were distilled before use: hexamethylphosphoric triamide (HMPA), dimethyl sulfoxide ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ ), dimethylformamide (DMF), acetonitrile $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$, dichloromethane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, chloroform $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, carbon tetrachloride $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$, pyridine (pyr), benzene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$, hexane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$, and pentane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)$ from calcium hydride; diethyl ether ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), tetrahydrofuran (THF), 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME), 1,4-dioxane, and toluene $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}\right)$ from sodium benzophenone ketyl; $N$-methylpyrrolidinone (NMP) from barium
(48) Overman, L. E.; Knoll, F. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 865. Heimbach, P.; Malin, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 49, 483, 477.
oxide; thionyl chloride $\left(\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}\right)$ from triphenyl phosphite; acetone from $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$; methanol ( MeOH ) from magnesium. Solvents for use in (dppe) ${ }_{2}$ Pd-catalyzed reactions were deoxygenated by flushing with argon for $20-30 \mathrm{~min}$. All palladium(0) catalysts were transferred under nitrogen atmosphere. Other reagents were used as obtained commercially. The term "in vacuo" refers to the removal of solvent on a Buchi-Brinkman Rotoevaporator at water-aspirator pressure followed by evacuation of the flask ( $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) for $15-30 \mathrm{~min}$, except as noted otherwise for volatile compounds. Silica gel (Macherey-Nagel $\mathrm{PNV}_{254}$ ) was used for analytical and all preparative ( 1.5 mm thick) thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and activated before use by heating at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Precoated, high-resolution analytical plates (Macherey-Nagel Nano-Plates SIL$20 \mathrm{UV}_{254}$ ) were also employed. Typical loadings on preparative plates were up to 80 mg on $20 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm} ; 80-200 \mathrm{mg}$ on $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm} ; 200-450$ mg on $20 \times 40 \mathrm{~cm}$. Column chromatography was accomplished with Grace (grade 62, 60-200 mesh) silica gel and Fisher (600-100 mesh) Florisil adsorbent. Removal of the material from silica gel was accomplished by successive washings with ethyl acetate (EtOAc). High-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed analytically (up to 2 mg ) on a Waters M6000 instrument with a $\mu$-Porasil silica gel column ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Waters $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{n} 27477$ ) or preparatively on a Waters Prep500 instrument with a self-packed, semiprep ( $2.5 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}, \mu$-Porasil, $37-75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $2-500 \mathrm{mg}$ ) silica gel column and a PrepPak-500 silica gel column (75 $\mu \mathrm{m}, 1-10 \mathrm{~g}$ ). Melting points were obtained on a Thomas-Hoover apparatus in open capillary tubes and are uncorrected. Boiling points are uncorrected. Gas chromatography was performed on a Varian Aerograph, Model 90P.

Proton ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ) NMR spectra were determined in the indicated solvent on a Jeolco MH-100 ( 100 MHz ) instrument unless otherwise noted that a Bruker WH-270 ( 270 MHz ) spectrometer was used. Chemical shifts are reported in $\delta$ units, parts per million ( ppm ) downfield from tetramethylsilane ( $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ ). Splitting patterns are designated as s , singlet; d , doublet; $t$, triplet; $q$, quartet; b or br, broad. Coupling constants are reported in hertz ( Hz ). Infrared spectra (IR) were determined in the indicated solvent in 1 -mm-thick solution cells on a Perkin-Elmer 267 or a Beckman AccuLab 7 instrument and are reported in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Carbon $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ NMR spectra were determined on a Jeolco FX-60 $(15.4 \mathrm{MHz})$ or a Jeolco FX-200 ( 50.1 MHz ) spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in $\delta$ units, and splitting patterns are designated as with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Mass spectra (MS) were obtained on an AEI-902 instrument at an ionizing current of 90 mA and an ionizing voltage of 70 eV unless otherwise noted. Data are reported as $m / e$ (\%). Microanalyses were performed by Spang Microanalytical Laboratories, Eagle Harbor, MI. Optical rotations were determined on a Perkin-Elmer 141 instrument on precise solutions in the indicated solvent and concentration (c) and are reported in degrees.

Preparation of 5,5-(Ethylenedioxy)-1-(trimethylsilyl)pent-1-yne. To a stirred solution of distilled (trimethylsilyl)acetylene ${ }^{49}(511.4 \mathrm{mg}, 5.22$ mmol ) in 6.0 mL of dry THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of $n$-butyllithium in hexane ( $1.5 \mathrm{M}, 3.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was allowed to warm to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 0.5 h and stirred an additional 0.5 h . Dry HMPA ( $927 \mathrm{mg}, 5.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, followed by bromide $8^{19}$ ( 719.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . After acidification to pH 4.5 with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ solution ( 20 mL ) and extraction with ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and with brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo to yield crude product ( 766.6 mg , 97\%).

Preparative TLC purification of another sample, using two elutions with $20 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), yielded pure product $\left(R_{f} 0.5\right)$ as determined by analytical TLC and NMR: NMR (CCl $\left.{ }_{4}\right) 0.05$ (s, 9 H), $1.6-1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.6-4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.82$ (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2180,1720(\mathrm{w}), 1440,1410,1365,1330$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; MS (40 eV) 198 (0.2), 155 (0.5), 139 (4), 125 (4), 111 (4), 109 (7), 83 (7), 73 (100), 67 (6), 59 (8), 45 (34), 43 (14), 41 (6), 39 (5) (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, 198.1076$; found, 198.1086).

Preparation of 5,5-(Ethylenedioxy)pent-1-yne. To a stirred solution of $633.9 \mathrm{mg}(3.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of crude 5,5 (ethylenedioxy)-1-(trimethyl-silyl)pent-1-yne in 9.0 mL dry MeOH at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added wet KOH pellets ( $183.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 2 h , acidified with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ solution ( 20 mL ), and extracted with pentane $\left(4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 3 $\times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo to yield crude product ( $383.0 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ).

A smaller scale experiment, using pure starting silane, gave crude product which was Kugelrohr distilled $\left[50-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(11 \mathrm{~mm})\right]$ to yield pure product ( $85 \%$ ) as a clear, colorless oil: NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 1.6-1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.05-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.6-3.9(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.8(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$ 3300, 2120, 1440, 1410, 1390, $1360 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS 126 (1), 125 (11), 106 (1),
(49) Kraihanzel, C. S.; Losee, M. L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1967, 10, 427.

105 (3), 99 (8), 92 (56), 91 (66), 86 (24), 81 (16), 77 (100), 75 (21), 74 (19), 73 (100), 71 (12), 69 (11), 67 (23), 65 (24), 63 (15), 58 (10), 57 (42), 56 (16), 55 (30), 54 (14), 53 (61), 52 (11), 51 (30), 50 (17), 45 (96), 44 (97), 43 (94), 42 (38), 41 (46), 40 (18), 39 (68), 38 (18) (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 126.0681$ found, 126.0679).

Preparation of Methyl 6,6-(Ethylenedioxy)hex-2-ynoate (9). To a stirred solution of $231 \mathrm{mg}(\sim 1.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ of crude 5,5 -(ethylenedi-oxy)-1-pentyne in 4.2 mL of dry THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of $n$-butyllithium in hexane ( $1.5 \mathrm{M}, 1.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After being stirred for 0.5 h the mixture was added slowly via cannula to a stirred solution of distilled methyl chloroformate ( $366.9 \mathrm{mg}, 3.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1.5 mL of dry THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using 1.5 mL additional dry THF to ensure complete transfer. The mixture was allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 1 $h$, acidified with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ solution ( 10 mL ), and extracted with ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. Preparative TLC purification, using $40 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, yielded 9 ( $351.7 \mathrm{mg}, R_{f} 0.4,48 \%$ from bromide 8 ) which was pure by analytical TLC and NMR.

Kugelrohr distillation $\left[90-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.8 \mathrm{~mm})\right.$ ] of another sample yielded pure 8 ( $96 \%$ recovery) as a clear, colorless oil: NMR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) $1.7-2.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.4(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.6(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.7-4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.8\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2240,1720,1435 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS $184(0.0)$, 183 (0.4), 169 (0.2), 153 (0.7), 129 (0.3), 125 (0.7), 109 (3), 83 (3), 81 (4), 73 (100), 53 (8), 45 (37), 43 (7), 41 (5) (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, 184.0736; found, 184.0736). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 58.69 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.57. Found: C, $58.50 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.42$.

Preparation of Methyl 6-Oxohex-2-ynoate (7). Method A. To a stirred solution of crude acetal $9(817.3 \mathrm{mg}, 4.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of undistilled THF at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ solution ( $0.5 \mathrm{~N}, 14$ $\mathrm{mL}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Sufficient additional THF ( 5 mL ) was added to achieve a one-phase, homogeneous mixture which was stirred for 17 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, heated to reflux for 10 h , neutralized with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 10 mL ), and extracted with ether $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo to give crude $7(510.1 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%)$ which analytical TLC and NMR spectroscopy indicated contained $\sim 10 \%$ starting acetal.

Method B. To a stirred solution of acetal $9(2.0114 \mathrm{~g}, 10.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of undistilled methanol at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen was added aqueous sulfuric acid solution ( $0.5 \mathrm{~N}, 25 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Sufficient undistilled THF ( 15 mL ) was added to achieve a one-phase, homogeneous solution which was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h , cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, neutralized with solid sodium bicarbonate, and extracted with ether ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo ( 0.1 mm ) to give crude $7(1.1777 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%)$ which analytical TLC and NMR spectroscopy indicated contained $10 \%$ starting acetal 9. The crude oil was dissolved in 15 mL of undistilled THF, and aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ solution ( $0.5 \mathrm{~N}, 12 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The homogeneous solution was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . The reaction mixture was treated exactly as before to provide crude $7(1.0612 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%)$ which analytical TLC and NMR spectroscopy indicated contained less than $3 \%$ starting acetal 9. Kugelrohr distillation [ $50-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})$ ] yielded 7 ( $1.0016 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ recovery, $>97 \%$ pure) as a clear, colorless oil.

Preparative TLC purification of the crude material from a later experiment, using $50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane (v/v), yielded $7\left(57 \%, R_{f}\right.$ 0.5 ) which was pure by analytical TLC and NMR spectroscopy: NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$ 2.3-2.8 (m, 4 H ), $3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 9.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right): 2240$, 1715-1725, $1440 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS 140 (2), 125 (2), 117 (24), 116 (13), 111 (15), 109 (14), 95 (10), 85 (16), 81 (19), 80 (14), 79 (18), 73 (100), 59 (13), 55 (14), 53 (37), 45 (24), 44 (16), 43 (31), 41 (23), 39 (12) (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 140.0474$; found, 140.0474 ).

Preparation of (E)- and (Z)-Methyl 5-(Propen-2-yl)tetrahydro-furan-2-ylideneacetate (15). Method A. To a stirred solution of distilled aldehyde $7(149.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 3.0 mL of dry THF at $-109^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen was slowly added a $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ solution of 2-propenylmagnesium bromide (previously prepared from the bromide in THF, 0.5 $\mathrm{M}, 3.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) over 35 min . The resulting white slurry was stirred at $-109^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , allowed to warm to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 45 min , and then added slowly to a stirred, two-phase system of $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ solution ( 10 mL ) and ether ( 10 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The aqueous phase was separated and extracted with ether $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 10 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo to give crude methyl 6-hydroxy-7-methyl-oct-7-en-2-ynoate 10 ( $179.4 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) as a clear, pale yellow oil which analytical TLC and NMR proved to be mostly the desired 10 with $\sim 6 \%$ starting aldehyde 7.

An earlier experiment utilized pure aldehyde 7 and furnished crude material which, after two separate preparative TLC purifications using two elutions with $40 \%$ acetone in hexane (v/v), yielded 10 ( $20 \%$ recovery,

12\% yield, $R_{f} 0.5$ ) as a clear, pale yellow oil which NMR proved to be nearly pure: NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.40$ $(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.80 (broad s, 1 H ), 4.95 (broad s, 1 H ); IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) 3640, 3650-3200, $2250,1710,1440 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

To a stirred solution of the crude allylic alcohol $10(179.4 \mathrm{mg}$, theoretically 0.98 mmol ) in 3.0 mL of distilled MeOH at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen was added commercial $97 \% \mathrm{PhSO}_{2} \mathrm{Na}$ ( $164.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in one portion. The solution was stirred at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 days (no change after 3 days) and partitioned between ether ( 20 mL ) and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 20 mL ). The aqueous phase was extracted with ether ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 10 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo to give crude 15 ( $177.0 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ). Preparative TLC purification, using two elutions with $40 \%$ acetone in hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), yielded $(E)-15\left(33.9 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \% R_{f} 0.7\right)$ and ( $Z$ )-15 ( $57.9 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%, R_{f} 0.5$ ) as clear, pale yellow oils which were pure by analytical TLC and NMR. The $\boldsymbol{Z}$ isomer was unstable and isomerized to the $E$ isomer on standing at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over several days. Kugelrohr distillation of ( $E$ )-15 [92\% recovery, $\left.55-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.03 \mathrm{~mm})\right]$ gave a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{NMR}\left(270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.89$ (dq, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=12.5,8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.23(\mathrm{dm}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.02(\mathrm{dtd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=18.5$, $8.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.28 (dddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=18.5,9.2,5.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.79(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.91(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 1710,1640,1440,1360,1320 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS 183 (2), 182 (38), 167 (3), 151 (30), 150 (28), 135 (17), 127 (11), 123 (15), 122 (16), 109 (15), 101 (91), 95 (26), 94 (14), 83 (13), 82 (34), 81 (46), 80 (18), 79 (29), 77 (10), 69 (100), 69 (10), 67 (77), 59 (22), 55 (38), 53 (33), 43 (15), 41 (70), 40 (11), 39 (61) (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 182.0943$; found, 182.0947 ). The ethyl ester of $(E)-15$ was purified by microdistillation [ $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})$ ] for analysis. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C , $67.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.22$. Found: C, 67.20; H, 8.10.

Spectra for $(Z)-15$ were obtained as soon as possible following isolation: NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 1.76 (s, 3 H ) 1.87 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.8$, $8.4,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.19(\mathrm{dq}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.8,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.74 (ddd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4$, $7.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.00(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1705,1655,1440,1370,1300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Method B. To a stirred solution of TLC-purified alcohol 10 (~90\% pure, $59.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1.0 mL dry MeOH at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen was added commercial $97 \% \mathrm{PhSO}_{2} \mathrm{Na}(54.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in one portion. The solution was stirred for 46 h , and solvent was removed by evaporation with a stream of nitrogen. The residue was partitioned between ether ( 20 mL ) and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution (20 $\mathrm{mL})$ and extracted with ether $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. Preparative TLC purification, using two elutions with $40 \%$ acetone in hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), yielded ( $E$ )-15 ( $14.8 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%, R_{f} 0.7$ ), and $(Z)-15\left(28.6 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%, R_{f} 0.5\right)$, which were pure by analytical TLC and NMR spectroscopy.

Preparation of Methyl 9-(1-Ethoxyethoxy)-6-hydroxytetradec-(E)-7-en-2-ynoate (11). To a stirred solution of distilled ( $E$ )-3-(1-ethoxyeth-oxy)-1-iodo-1-octene ${ }^{50}(424.1 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10.5 mL of dry ether at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen was added a solution of tert-butyllithium in pentane ( $2.2 \mathrm{M}, 1.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise. The clear, colorless solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . A solution of $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}(1.86 \mathrm{M}$ in $5 \%$ benzene/ether, $0.69 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise, and the resulting colorless slurry was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Distilled aldehyde 7 was added dropwise, and the thick, colorless slurry was stirred at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then allowed to warm to $+5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 1.5 h . The reaction mixture was added slowly to a stirred, two-phase system of $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ solution ( 10 mL ) and ether ( 10 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. TLC purification, using three elutions with $30 \%$ acetone in hexane ( $v / v$ ), yielded pure 11 (172.4 $\mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ) as determined by analytical TLC. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR proved it to be a mixture of four diastereomers: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(270 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.88$ (broad $\left.\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.176(\mathrm{t}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.178(\mathrm{t}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.196(\mathrm{t}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.286(\mathrm{~d}$, $1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.296(\mathrm{~d}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.3-1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.79$ ( $\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) 2.45 (broad $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.4-3.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.906$ (broad q, $0.25 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.916 (broad q, $0.25 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.03 (broad q, $0.50 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.691$ (quintet, $0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.695 (quintet, 0.5 $\mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3620$, $2600-3200,2960,2880,2240,1725,1440,1250,1080,860 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 154.0 (s), $[134.3,134.2,133.2,133.1,133.0$,

[^11]132.3 (d)], [99.2, 98.8, 97.6, 97.0 (d)], 89.1 (s), 88.4 (s), [77.3, 76.6, 76.4 (d) $],[70.6,70.4,70.3$ (d)], $[60.8,60.7,50.1$ (d)], 52.4 (q), $[35.9,35.6$ (t)],34.8 (t), $31.8(\mathrm{t}),[25.1,24.8(\mathrm{t})], 22.6(\mathrm{t}),[20.6,20.4(\mathrm{q})],[15.4$, 15.3 (q)], 14.9 (t, $14.0(\mathrm{q})$ ).

Preparation of (E)- and (Z)-Methyl 5-[(E)-3-(1-Ethoxyethoxy)oct-1-en-1-yl]tetrahydrofuran-2-ylideneacetate (16). Method A. To a stirred solution of distilled aldehyde $7(301.2 \mathrm{mg}, 2.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 4.0 mL of dry ether and 2.0 mL of dry THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen was slowly added a $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ slurry of $(E)$-3-(1-ethoxyethoxy)oct-1-en-1-ylmagnesium bromide ( 3.23 mmol , prepared as previously described) over 30 min . The flask that contained the Grignard reagent was rinsed with dry THF ( 2 $\times 1.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and these rinsings were cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and added to the aldehyde solution. The resulting thick, colorless slurry was allowed to warm to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 30 min and then cooled to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 min . The reaction mixture was worked up as previously described to provide crude 11 ( $921.5 \mathrm{mg}, 126 \%$ ) as a clear yellow oil.

This crude material was further reacted in two separate experiments, the larger of which is described here.

To a stirred solution of crude 11 ( 641.1 mg , theoretically 1.89 mmol ) in 5.2 mL of dry MeOH at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen was added commercial $97 \% \mathrm{PhSO}_{2} \mathrm{Na}(361.7 \mathrm{mg}, 2.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in two portions. The solution was stirred at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h and at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 21 h . The solvent was removed by evaporation with a stream of nitrogen, and the residue was partitioned between ether ( 40 mL ) and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether $(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. Preparative TLC purification, using four elutions with $30 \%$ acetone in hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), yielded $(E)-16\left(102.7 \mathrm{mg}, R_{f} 0.9\right)$ and $(Z)-16\left(230.1 \mathrm{mg}, R_{f} 0.7\right)$ as yellow semisolids which were pure by analytical TLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and proven by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR to be each a mixture of four diastereomers.

The smaller experiment provided $18.2 \mathrm{mg}(E)-16$ and $40.0 \mathrm{mg}(Z)-16$ making a total of $(E)-16(120.9 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \%)$ and $(Z)-16(270.1 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%)$. The $(Z)-16$ was unstable and isomerized to $(E)-16$ upon heating. Kugelrohr distillaton of $(E)-16$ [ $82 \%$ recovery, $160-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.007 \mathrm{~mm})$ ] gave a clear, very pale yellow, semisolid: NMR $\left(270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.88$ (broad t, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.17(\mathrm{t}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.19(\mathrm{t}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.2-1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{dtd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=18.6$, $9.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.3-3.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93$ (quintet, $0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.05 (quintet, $0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.679 $(\mathrm{q}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.683(\mathrm{q}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.83(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 6.5 Hz ), 5.32 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 1705, 1640, 1435, $1360 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS $340(0.1), 282(0.3), 266$ (0.8), 251 (5), 237 (2), 197 (5), 181 (1), 165 (1), 155 (1), 141 (3), 135 (4), 101 (2), 99 (2), 81 (1), 79 (1), 74 (2), 73 (100), 69 (1), 55 (1), 45 (32), 43 (3), 40 (2) (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{5}, 340.2250$; found, 340.2248 ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 175.9 (s), 168.8 (s), $[135.4,135.3,134.6$, 134.5 (d) ], [129.9, 129.7, 128.5, 128.4 (d)], [99.0, 97.2 (d)], [89.4, 89.3 (d)], $[83.4,83.3,83.1$ (d) $],[76.0,75.5$ (d) $],[60.9,60.7,59.3,59.2$ (d)], $50.5(\mathrm{q}),[35.7,35.5(\mathrm{t})], 31.7(\mathrm{t}), 30.2(\mathrm{t}), 30.1(\mathrm{t}),[25.0,24.7(\mathrm{t})], 22.5$ (t), $[20.6,20.4$ (q) $],[15.4,15.2$ (q) $], 14.0(q)$.

Spectra for ( Z$)-16$ were obtained as soon as possible after isolation: NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 0.88 (broad $\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.18(\mathrm{t}, 1.5$ $\mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.19(\mathrm{t}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.29(\mathrm{~d}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.2-1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.4-3.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93$ $(\mathrm{q}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(\mathrm{q}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.06(\mathrm{q}, 0.50 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.68(\mathrm{q}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.69(\mathrm{q}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.87 (quintet, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.06(\mathrm{qm}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1705,1655,1445,1385,1300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS 340 ( 0.0 ), 251 (3), 237 (2), 219 (1), 197 (8), 165 (2), 155 (2), 141 (6), 135 (3), 121 (3), 109 (2), 107 (2), 101 (7), 99 (4), 95 (4), 91 (4), 81 (7), 79 (8), 73 (100), 69 (27), 67 (8), 55 (14), 45 (90), 44 (40), 43 (38), 41 (20), 40 (28). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 171.0 (s), 166.0 (s), [135.4, 135.0, 134.5 (d)], [129.6, 75.7, 75.6 (d)], [61.0, 60.8, 59.6, 59.2 (d)], $50.5(\mathrm{q}),[35.7,35.5(\mathrm{t})],[32.0,31.9(\mathrm{t})], 31.8(\mathrm{t}), 29.4(\mathrm{t}),[25.0$, $24.8(\mathrm{t})], 22.5(\mathrm{t}),[20.6,20.4$ (q)], [15.4, 15.3 (q) $], 14.0(\mathrm{q})$.

Method B. To a stirred solution of TLC-purified alcohol $11(92.2 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.27 mmol ) in 0.8 mL of distilled MeOH at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen was added commercial $97 \% \mathrm{PhSO}_{2} \mathrm{Na}(49.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in one portion. The solution was stirred at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 19 h and allowed to stand at 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 11 h . The majority of the solvent was evaporated by a stream of nitrogen, and the residue was purified by preparative TLC, using two elutions with $40 \%$ acetone in hexane ( $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{v}$ ), to yield ( $E$ )-16 ( 34.0 mg , $37 \%, R_{f} 0.7$ ) and (Z)-16 ( $56.4 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%, R_{f} 0.8$ ) as pale yellow semisolids.

Preparation of $N, N$-Diethyl-( $E)-2-[2(S), 3(S)$-(isopropylidenedi-oxy)-5 $(R)-[(Z)$-propenyl $]$ tetrahydrofuran-2-ylidene $]$ propionamide ( 20 ). To a stirred solution of lactone $19(266.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1.0 mL of dry THF at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen was added solid, anhydrous $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$
( $314.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in one portion. To the resulting slurry, distilled 1-(diethylamino)propyne ( $298.7 \mathrm{mg}, 2.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly. The orange slurry was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , then allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and stirred for 5 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 10 mL ) and extracted with methylene chloride $(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 5 mL ), dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ ), and concentrated in vacuo to give 571 mg of dark red-brown oil. This oil was filtered through Florisil ( $8 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) with $50 \%$ (v/v) ethyl acetate in hexane ( 120 mL ) and concentrated in vacuo to give 415.9 mg of yellow, crystalline solid. Recrystallization from hot hexane gave pure 20 ( $284.2 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ) as colorless needles, $\mathrm{mp} 69.5-70.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TLC purification of the mother liquor, using $100 \%$ ethyl acetate, yielded additional $20\left(78.4 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \%, R_{f} 0.4\right)$ as a pale yellow solid: NMR ( 270 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.17(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{dd}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.84(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.9,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.83$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3,4.1$, $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.28(\mathrm{dq}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.9,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.70(\mathrm{ddq}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11,8.3$, 1.7 Hz ), 5.83 (dqd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11,6.9,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1690,1605$, 1460, 1440, $1380 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS 310 (3), 309 (24), 294 (4), 237 (31), 179 (21), 168 (8), 156 (19), 155 (10), 151 (29), 137 (8), 127 (13), 125 (23), 109 (12), 100 (17), 97 (13), 95 (13), 83 (100), 81 (10), 72 (41), 67 (16), 59 (12), 58 (32), 56 (16), 55 (23), 44 (34), 43 (92), 42 (12), 41 (20), 40 (26). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 170.4 (s), 152.7 (s), 130.2 (d), 124.1 (d), 112.4 (s), 107.9 (s), 80.0 (d), 78.5 (d), 77.8 (d), 43-38 (two t), 26.4 (q), 25.4 (q), 13.6 (q), 13.5 (q), 13.2-12.8 (two q); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}$ $+201.9^{\circ}\left(\right.$ c $\left.2.58, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 65.99 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.80; N, 4.53. Found: C, 65.84; H, 8.64; N, 4.41.

Preparation of tert-Butyl $(E)$ - and $(Z)-[2(S), 3(S)$-(Isopropylidene-dioxy)-5(S)-[(Z)-propenyl]tetrahydrofuran-2-ylidene]acetate (21). To a stirred solution of distilled diisopropylamine ( $121.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1.5 mL of dry THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen was added a solution of $n$-butyllithium ( 1.45 M in hexane, $0.83 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise. After this was stirred for 10 min , a solution of tert-butyl acetate $(139.6 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.20 mmol ) in 0.34 mL of dry THF was added over 10 min . This clear, very pale yellow solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The crystalline lactone 19 ( $199.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in portions, and the mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h to provide a cloudy, colorless solution which was quenched with 1.5 mL of ethanol, warmed to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and poured into $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ ), and concentrated in vacuo to 290.0 mg of pale yellow viscous oil.

This crude material was dissolved in 3.6 mL dry THF. To the stirred solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen was added distilled DBU ( $458.1 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01$ mmol ) and distilled methanesulfonyl chloride ( $312.3 \mathrm{mg}, 2.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). An amorphous white solid formed, and the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Additional DBU ( $274.9 \mathrm{mg}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h , quenched with $5 \%$ aqueous Na $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with ether ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 10 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo to give 279.9 mg of pale yellow oil. TLC purification, using two elutions with $50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), yielded 21a ( $176.5 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%, R_{f} 0.9$ ) as a clear, colorless oil and $21 \mathrm{~b}\left(78.8 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \%, R_{f} 0.8\right)$ as a colorless solid. 21a: NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 1.41 (s, 3 H ), 1.44 (s, 3 H ), 1.49 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9$ H), $1.76(\mathrm{dd}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.72(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.92$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3,4.0,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.34(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.68(\mathrm{ddq}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.8,8.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.71(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $1695,1655,1450,1365,1340,1320 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS ( 30 eV ) 296 (4), 240 (19), 225 (8), 223 (17), 220 (18), 219 (32), 218 (33), 182 (32), 165 (16), 164 (11), 158 (12), 141 (16), 140 (79), 112 (78), 100 (12), 96 (11), 95 (13), 91 (44), 84 (13), 83 (16), 82 (12), 81 (13), 80 (12), 71 (42), 69 (25), 67 (18), 59 (43), 57 (85), 56 (30), 55 (53), 45 (25), 44 ( 93 ), 43 (100), 41 (66), $\left.40(81), 36(16) ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+335.7^{\circ}(c) 1.92, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 64.84 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.16$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 64.73 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.19$. 21b: NMR $\left(270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75$ (dd, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.65(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.12(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.25(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4,3.7$, 0.7 Hz ), 5.74 (ddq, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.0,8.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 5.88 (dqd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.0$, $6.7,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1700,1655,1380,1370,1300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS ( 32 eV) 296 (0.5), 2.81 (1.2), 240 (8), 225 (10), 223 (15), 182 (24), 165 (15), 158 (12), 140 (89), 125 (12), 112 (64), 100 (22), 97 (12), 95 (23), 84 (14), 83 (10), 81 (21), 71 (37), 69 (14), 67 (18), 59 (51), 57 (100), 56 (20), 55 (58), 44 (12), 43 (65), 41 (96), 39 (14). A small sample of 21b was recrystallized from hexane to give colorless needles, $\mathrm{mp} 96-104^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with decomposition. Analytical TLC of the melted crystals showed substantial rearrangement to the more stable 21a: $[\alpha]^{25_{\mathrm{D}}}+226.9^{\circ}$ ( $c$ $4.94, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 64.84 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.16$. Found: C, 64.71 ; H, 8.04.

Preparation of $N, N$-Diethyl-2(S)-carboxamido-4(S),5(S)-(iso-
propylidenedioxy)-2(S)-methyl-3(S)-[(Z)-propenyl]cyclopentanone (26). Method A (Table I, entry 3). To a stirred solution of enol ether 20 (40.1 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 0.7 mL of dry, deoxygenated dioxane at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppe})_{2}(9.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$. The yellow solution was heated rapidly to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred for 1 h , cooled, and concentrated in vacuo. Proton NMR and analytical HPLC ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane, $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) analyses of the crude material revealed only one compound ( $t_{\mathrm{R}} 4.3$ column volumes). TLC purification, using two elutions with $50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), yielded 26 ( $37.4 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%, R_{f}$ 0.5 ) which was pure by $270-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and TLC: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (270 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.11(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.46 (s, 3 H ), 1.68 (dd, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 3.73 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5,4.9,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.73 (m, 2 H ), 5.48 (ddq, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11,10.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.82(\mathrm{dqd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11,7.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{IR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2960,2960,2900,2860,1755,1745,1620,1600,1460,1430$, $1380,1275,1260,1220,1155,1100,1080,970 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (50.1, $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 210.7 (s), 171.2 (s), 128.5 (d), 124.2 (d), 112.9 (s), 80.0 (d), 77.6 (d), 59.4 (s), 41.7 (d), 41.0 (t), 26.3 and 25.0 (q, acetonide methyls), 17.8 (q, angular $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 13.3 (q, vinyl $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 12.8 ( q , amide methyls). Purification of a small portion by collection from analytical HPLC ( $15 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane, $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, ( $t_{\mathrm{R}} 6.6$ column volumes) provided 26 as a clear, colorless oil: MS 309 (24), 294 (2), 281 (0.5), 223 (1), 194 (7), 182 (14), 181 (6), 171 (6), 166 (3), 151 (32), 140 (2), 123 (3), 109 (22), 100 (100), 95 (5), 85 (9), 81 (6), 72 (20), 58 (11), 55 (9), 44 (19), 43 (26), 41 (16); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+22.0\left(c 1.59, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$ : C, $65.99 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.80 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.53$. Found: C , 65.76; H, 8.62; N, 4.48 .

Method B (Table I, entry 1). To a stirred solution of $20(149.5 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.48 mmol ) in 2.2 mL of dry, deoxygenated $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was added (dppe) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(26.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was heated rapidly to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred for 2 h , cooled, and concentrated in vacuo. TLC purification, using two elutions with $50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), yielded pure $26\left(52.0 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%, R_{f} 0.5\right)$, a mixture of two new cyclopentanones ( $26.1 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \%, R_{f} 0.6$ ), and pure $N, N$-diethyl-2( $S$ )-carboxamido-6(S),7(S)-(isopropylidenedioxy)-3(R)-methyl-2(S)-methylcyclohept-4-enone ( $27,40.7 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%, R_{f} 0.7$ ) as a colorless solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $1.10(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54$ (quintet of $\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) [sequential irradiation at $1.19,5.14,5.38$, and 5.74 shows 3.54 (quintet of dd, 1 H , $J=6.8,1.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz})], 5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.38$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5,6.8,2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.74(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1725,1620,1455$, $1420,1380 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 204 (s), 168.1 (s), 134.1 (d), 130.9 (d), 110.2 (s), 79.5 (d), 76.8 (d), 66.4 (s), 42.0 (two t), 36.6 (d), 26.4 and 25.0 (q, acetonide methyls), 16.5 ( $q$, allylic $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 13.5 ( q , angular $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 13.5 (two q , amide methyls). Purification of a small portion by collection from analytical HPLC ( $15 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane, $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, t_{\mathrm{R}} 3.0$ column volumes) and recrystallization from hexane gave pure 27 ( $\mathrm{mp} 85-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) as colorless needles; MS 309 (2), 294 (9), 281 (1), 252 (5), 251 (39), 223 (2), 208 (10), 180 (2), 156 (28), 155 (7), 151 (45), 140 (9), 123 (30), 122 (10), 109 (4), 100 (79), 95 (17), 85 (4), 83 (31), 81 (5), $72(70), 70(11), 69(13), 67(10), 58(44), 57(12), 56$ (30), 55 (33), 44 (52), 43 (100), 42 (19), 41 (42), 40 (19), $39(24) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $+173.0^{\circ}\left(c 0.18, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 65.99 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.80; N, 4.53. Found: C, 66.09; H, 8.77; N, 4.48.

X-ray. ${ }^{34}$ A single crystal of $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$, which measured $\sim 0.2 \times 0.4$ $\times 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, was mounted on a glass fiber. Preliminary examination on a Syntex PI diffractometer showed the crystal to be orthorhombic, $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$. The unit cell parameters (at $19 \pm 1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \lambda \mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \bar{\alpha}=0.71073$ $\AA$ ) are $a=8.721(5), b=10.350(4)$, and $c=19.641(8)^{\circ}$. These parameters were determined by a least-squares refinement utilizing the setting angles of 30 reflections $\left(17^{\circ}<2 \theta<22^{\circ}\right)$ each centered at $\pm 2 \theta$. The calculated density is $1.16 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ for $Z=4$.

Intensity data were collected by using $\theta-2 \theta$ scans from $0.8^{\circ}$ below $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ to $0.8^{\circ}$ above $\mathrm{K} \alpha_{2}$ and scan ratios varying from 2.0 to 24.0 determinations $/ \mathrm{min}$. Four standard reflections were measured every 50 reflections to monitor the long-term stability. The intensities of the standard reflections showed no systematic changes during the time required to collect the data. The intensity data were reduced and standard deviations calculated as described previously. ${ }^{51}$ The 719 data with $F_{0}>3 \theta\left(F_{0}\right)$ were retained as observed and utilized for the solution and refinement of the structure ( $36 \%$ of the theoretical number possible).

The structure was solved by direct methods utilizing the mUlTan ${ }^{52}$ package and was refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques. A difference electron density map revealed most of the hydrogen atom positions. Hydrogen atoms were then included in the model in idealized

[^12]positions $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=1.0 \AA ; B(\mathrm{H})=B(\mathrm{C})+1.0)$. The full isotropic refinement was then carried to convergence. The final value of $R_{1}=\sum\left\|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|$ $-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right| \mid / \sum F_{0}$ was 0.14 ; that of $R_{2}=\left[\sum \omega\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2} / \sum \omega\left(F_{0}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$ was 0.17. The estimated standard deviation of an observation of unit weight was 3.59. The final difference electron density map was judged to be free of significant features.

See Appendix for data tables and ORTEP drawing. The mixture of cyclopentanones was separated by collection from analytical HPLC ( $5 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane, $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to yield pure $N, N$-diethyl $-2(S)$ -carboxamido-4(S),5(S)-(isopropylidenedioxy)-2(S)-methyl-3(S)-[(E)propenyl]cyclopentanone ( $28,16.4 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%, t_{\mathrm{R}} 8.0$ column volumes) and $N, N$-diethyl-2 $(R)$-carboxamido- $4(S), 5(S)$-isopropylidene-2 $(R)$-methyl$3(S)$ - $\left[(E)\right.$-propenyl]cyclopentanone $\left(29,14.6 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \%, t_{\mathrm{R}} 6.4\right.$ column volumes) as colorless oils.

28: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{dd}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.67(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6,4.4$ Hz ), 5.24 (ddq, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15,9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.64(\mathrm{dqd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15,6.6$, 0.9 Hz ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2980,2920,1765,1630,1625,1455,1425,1370$, 1270, $1210,1150,1075,1050,970 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 208.6 (s), 168.3 (s), 130.3 (d), 125.8 (d), 113.9 (s), 80.1 (d), 78.4 (d), $61.1(\mathrm{~s}), 51.6(\mathrm{~d}), 41.8(\mathrm{t}), 40.6(\mathrm{t}), 26.3$ (q, acetonide methyls), 18.5 ( q , angular $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 18.1 ( q , vinyl $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 12.8 and 12.7 ( q , amide methyls); MS 309 (7), 294 (0.5), 281 (2), 223 (4), 194 (6), 182 (11), 171 (4), 151 (7), 134 (2), 129 (2), 123 (5), 109 (16), 100 (100), 83 (15), 81 (19), 72 (41), 71 (12), 59 (10), 58 (23), 55 (9), 44 (18), 43 (31), 41 (18); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $+33.8^{\circ}\left(c 0.74, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 65.99 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.80; N, 4.53. Found: C, $66.09 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.83 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.46$.

29: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 1.11 (m, 6 H ), 1.35 (s, 3 H ), 1.45 $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.46(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2,6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1765,1625$, $1600,1460,1430 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 208.4 (s), 170.3 (s), 130.2 (d), 128.0 (d), 113.9 (s), 80.0 (d), 79.9 (d), 63.2 (s), 57.7 (d), 41 (two t), 27.1 and 25.3 (q, acetonide methyls), 19.1 ( q , angular $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 18.1 (q, vinyl CH3 ), 12.7 (q, amide methyls); MS 309 (24), 294 (3), 281 (1), 251 (2), 223 (2), 194 (14), 182 (60), 171 (4), 166 (5), 151 (3), 123 (5), 109 (24), 100 (100), 85 (14), 83 (10), 72 (27), 58 (9), 55 (10), 44 (20), $43(31), 41(18) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+7.9^{\circ}\left(c 0.68, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 65.99 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.80 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.53$. Found: C, $66.10 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.79 ; \mathrm{N}$, 4.51.

Method C (Table I, entry 2). Several experiments were performed in which $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pd}(6-22 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was added to a stirred solution of 20 in dry, deoxygenated $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}(0.2 \mathrm{M})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon and the solution heated at $60-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $9-47 \mathrm{~h}$. TLC purification of these combined reaction mixtures, using two elutions with $50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane (v/v), yielded pure $20\left(73 \%, R_{f} 0.4\right)$, pure $26\left(20 \%, R_{f} 0.6\right)$, pure 27 ( $6 \%$, $R_{f} 0.75$ ), and an impure compound tentatively identified as $N, N$-di-ethyl- $(E)$-2-[2(S),3(S)-(isopropylidenedioxy)-5(S)-[(E)-propenyl)tetra-hydrofuran-2-ylidene]propionamide $\left(1 \%, R_{f} 0.5\right)$ as a yellow oil: NMR $\left(270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.15(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.71(\mathrm{dd}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.85(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.5(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2,2.2,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.71 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.5,2.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.40(\mathrm{ddq}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.2$, $7.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.78(\mathrm{dqd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.2,6.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1695$, $1605,1440,1370 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Preparation of 2(S)-Carbo-tert-butoxy-6(S),7(S)-isopropylidenedi-oxy-3(R)-methylcyclohept-4-enone (32). Enol ether 21b ( $50.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17$ mmol ) (21a produces identical results) and (dppe) $)_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ ( $9.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01$ mmol ) were combined in a dry NMR tube and dissolved in 0.5 mL dry, deoxygenated $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After 10 min the NMR spectra indicated 21b was consumed. The reaction mixture was cooled, and TLC purification, using $50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane, yielded pure 32 ( $32.3 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%, R_{f} 0.6$ ) as a clear colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 3.07 (quintet of $\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) [sequential irradiation at $1.14,3.18,5.19,5.51$ and 5.78 show 3.07 (dqddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1,6.1,6.0$, $1.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz})], 3.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.19$ (ddt, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3,2.2$, 1.8 Hz ) [sequential irradiation at $3.07,5.40,5.51$, and 5.78 show 5.19 (dddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3,2.2,1.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ )], $5.40(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.51$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.8,6.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.78(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1740,1715,1455,1380,1370 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. A portion was purified by collection from analytical HPLC ( $5 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane, 2.0 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, t_{\mathrm{R}} 2.6$ column volumnes): ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(50.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 199.5$ (s), 166.8 (s), 134.0 (d), 129.8 (d), 109.8 (s), 82.7 (s), 80.6 (d), 76.0 (d), 68.2 (d), 32.1 (d), 28.0 (q, ester methyls), 26.5 and 25.1 ( $q$, acetonide methyls), 18.3 (q, allylic methyl); MS 196 (0.5), 181 (I), 154 (2), 138 (2), 119 (2), 119 (2), 109 (3), 97 (3), 95 (2), 81 (13), 69 (10), 68 (18), $58(13), 57(26), 56(12), 44(100), 43$ (79), 41 (50), 40 (19), 39 (11); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+178.5^{\circ}\left(c 1.18, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 64.84$;

## H, 8.16. Found: C, $64.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.01$.

Preparation of tert-Butyl (E)-[2(S),3(S)-(Isopropylidenedioxy)-5-(S)-[(E)-propenyl]tetrahydrofuran-2-ylidene]acetate (33). To a stirred solution of 21 b ( $51.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 0.8 mL of dry THF at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was added (dppe) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(9.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$. The clear yellow solution was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo, and TLC purification, using two elutions of $30 \%$ acetone in hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), yielded $33\left(24.2 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%, R_{f} 0.5\right)$ which was pure by NMR spectroscopy and analytical TLC: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(270 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41$ (broad s, 12 H ), $1.69(\mathrm{dm}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.52 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.82(\mathrm{dm}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.33(\mathrm{ddq}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.3,6.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.64(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.77(\mathrm{dqd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.3,6.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 2980, 2920, 2860, 1695, 1655, 1450, 1370, 1315, 1265, 1220, 1160, 1120, 1085, 1010, $970,855 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 170.5 (s), 166.2 (s), 130.3 (d), 126.9 (d), 113.2 (s), 96.9 (s), 87.2 (d), 82.5 (s), 79.8 (d), 79.1 (d), 28.3 (q, ester methyls), 27.0 and 25.9 (q, acetonide methyls), 17.7 ( $q$, vinyl methyl).

Preparation of $2(S), 3(S)$-(Isopropylidenedioxy) $\mathbf{- 4}(R)-[(Z)$ propenyl)cyclopentanone (31). To enol ether 21a ( $85.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and polymer-supported palladium catalyst ${ }^{36}(45.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was added 1.1 mL of dry, deoxygenated toluene. Distilled $O, N$-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide ( $240.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was allowed to stand at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to allow the polymer beads to swell. The mixture was heated to reflux, stirred occasionally over 2 h , and cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The yellow solution was decanted from the polymer beads; the beads were washed with toluene ( $10 \times 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the washings were combined with the solution. The solvent was removed in vacuo ( 0.02 mm ) to give a yellow oil ( 106.2 mg ). Proton NMR and analytical HPLC ( $8 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane, 2.0 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) analyses showed this oil to contain trace amounts of hydrolyzed $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}$-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide, 58-60\% of enol silyl ether 35, 36-38\% of $\mathbf{3 0}, 1 \%$ of cycloheptenone 32, and $0-4 \%$ of decarboalkoxylated cyclopentanone 31. This oil was dissolved in 0.65 mL of distilled, moist $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$ in an NMR tube and heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7.5 h . TLC purification, using $50 \%$ acetone in hexane ( $v / \mathrm{v}$ ), yielded pure $31(46.6 \mathrm{mg}$, $82 \%$ ) as an off-white crystalline solid and another portion ( $11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ pure) as a pale yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{dd}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.27 (A of ABX, 1 H , $J=18.4,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.37(\mathrm{~B}$ of ABX, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=18.4,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.13(\mathrm{x}$ of $\left.\mathrm{ABX}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\mathrm{AX}}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{BX}}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.63(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.48(\mathrm{ddq}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.0,10.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.62$ (dqd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.6,6.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1755,1385,1375 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 213.5 (s), 127.6 (d), 126.7 (d), 112.3 (s), 80.0 (d, acetonide methines), 39.4 ( t ), 32.9 (d), 26.6 and 24.9 ( q , acetonide methyls), 13.2 ( q , vinyl methyl). A portion was recrystallized from hexane to give colorless needles (mp $70-71^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): MS 197 (3), 196 (27), 181 (16), 167 (16), 159 (10), 153 (10), 139 (31), 138 (38), 123 (20), 121 (11), $110(22), 109(32), 101(29), 100(66), 97(32), 96(17), 95(63)$, 93 (18), 85 (67), 83 (14), 82 (37), 81 (96), 80 (11), 79 (40), 77 (20), 69 (61), 68 (88), 67 (71), 66 (22), 65 (18), 60 (18), 59 (60), 57 (12), 55 (48), 54 (20), 53 (57), 52 (10), 51 (14), 44 (11), 43 (100), 42 (48), 41 (80), 40 (42), 39 (72) (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 196.1099$; found, 196.1104); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{D}+127.3^{\circ}$ [c 1.92, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 67.32; H, 8.22. Found: C, 67.42; H, 8.14.

Preparation of $2(S)$-Carbo-tert-butoxy- $4(S), 5(S)$-(Isopropylidene-dioxy)-5(R)-[(Z)-propenyl]cyclopentanone (30) and Carbo-tert-butoxy$3(S), 4(S)$-(isopropylidenedioxy)-5 $(R)$ - $[(Z)$-propenyl]-2-(trimethylsiloxy)cyclopentanone (35). To enol ether 21a ( $157.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) (21b produces identical results) and phosphinylated polystyrene supported palladium catalyst ${ }^{36}$ ( $62 \%$ of phenyl rings substituted by $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}, 2 \%$ cross-linked, $73.96 \% \mathrm{C}, 5.54 \% \mathrm{H}, 5.75 \% \mathrm{P}, 3.76 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 46.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02$ mmol of Pd) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was added 2.2 mL of dry, deoxygenated toluene. Distilled $O, N$-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide ( 268.8 mg , 1.32 mmol ) was added, and the mixture was allowed to stand at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to allow the polymer beads to swell. The mixture was heated to reflux and stirred occasionally over 10 h and cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The yellow solution was decanted from the polymer beads; the beads were washed with toluene ( $5 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the washings were combined with the solution. The resultant solution was concentrated in vacuo ( 0.02 mm ) to give a yellow oil ( 157.2 mg ). Proton NMR and analytical HPLC analysis [ $8 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane ( $v / v$ ), $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, t_{\mathrm{R}} 1.4$ column volumes] showed this oil to contain small amounts of hydrolyzed $O, N$ bis(trimethylsilyl) acetamide and $95 \%$ of enol silyl ether 35: NMR (270 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $0.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45($ broa d s, 12 H$), 1.72$ (dd, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.81 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5,6.1,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.63 $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.35(\mathrm{ddq}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11$, $10.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 5.63 (dqd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11,7,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1705$, $1630,1365 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. TLC purification, using three elutions with $20 \%$ acetone in hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), yielded a significant amount of decarboalkoxylated
product and 30, which exists as a $64: 36$ keto enol mixture ( $61.0 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$ ) which was pure by NMR and analytical TLC/HPLC: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (270 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (keto form) 1.35 (s, 3 H ), 1.45 (broad s, 12 H ), 1.72 (dd, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.36(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.56$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $12.1,9.5,4.0,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.32(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.70(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0$, 4.0 Hz ), 5.53 (ddq, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5,9.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 5.76 (dqd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5$, $6.7,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); (enol form) $1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45$ (broad s, 12 H$), 1.72$ (dd, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.79 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.2,6.1,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.67(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.35(\mathrm{ddq}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.9$, $10.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 5.63 (dqd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.9,6.7,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3250$, $2980,2930,1765,1720,1665,1655,1450,1370,1350,1250,1210,1150$, $1070 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (keto form) 206.4 (s), 167.4 (s), 128.3 (d), 126.0 (d), 112.6 (s), 82.1 (s), 79.9 (d), 78.6 (d), 57.1 (d), 37.4 (d), 28.0 (q, ester methyls), 26.7 and 24.8 (q, acetonide methyls), 13.4 (q, vinyl methyl); (enol form) 169.8 (s), 169.4 (s), 134.2 (d), 124.9 (s), 111.7 (s), 104.4 (s), 80.9 (s), 77.4 (s), 76.0 (s), 39.3 (d), 31.2 and 25.5 (q, acetonide methyls), 28.2 ( q , ester methyls), 13.3 ( q , vinyl methyl); MS 281 (0.5), 240 (1), 225 (1), 223 (4), 212 (1), 196 (2), 195 (3), 194 (3), 193 (3), 182 (5), 181 (2), 138 (8), 137 (7), 136 (6), 135 (5), 123 (6), 110 (5), 109 (12), 100 (66), 97 (10), 95 (46), 85 (33), 81 (58), 79 (14), 69 (12), 68 (44), 67 (22), 66 (7), $60(5), 59$ (100), 57 (96), 56 (13), 55 (14), 53 (12), 44 (33), 43 (89), 41 (70), 40 (7), 39 (20); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+63.5^{\circ}\left(c=3.22, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 64.84$; $\mathrm{H}, 8.16$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 65.03 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.18$.

Preparation of 2-(Carbomethoxy)-3-(3-bromo-5,6-dihydronaphth-7$y \mathrm{l})$ cyclopentane (37). To a stirred solution of enol ether $6^{17}(207.0 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.59 mmol ) in dry $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}(2.6 \mathrm{~mL}$, previously deoxygenated by bubbling argon) at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppe})_{2}(28.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting clear, yellow solution was stirred at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h , the solvent was removed in vacuo at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the residue was purified by TLC, using three elutions with $30 \%$ acetone in hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), to yield 37 ( $168.6 \mathrm{mg}, R_{f} 0.6,81 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid ( $\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{110-118}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), which was recrystallized from absolute ethanol to give colorless needles (mp $117-119^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Proton NMR proved these to be an $E: Z$ mixture of 96:4: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.7-1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.3-2.6(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.80$ (t, 2 H, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.26(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.39(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5$, 6.2 Hz ), $3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 0.12 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2.88 \mathrm{H}), 6.14$ (bs, 0.04 H$), 6.28$ (bs, $0.06 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1765$, 1725, 1655, 1620, 1590 (w), 1480, 1440, 1410, 1360, $1330 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) 209, 169, 141, 137, 133, 130, 127.5, 127.5, 122, 120, 59, 52, 48, 38, 28, 26, 25; MS 350 (4), 348 (4), 318 (4), 316 (4), 291 (7), 289 (10), 258 (3), 256 (3), 234 (2), 232 (2), 221 (1), 219 (2), 208 (3), 206 (2), 181 (2), 179 (1), 170 (6), 168 (1), 167 (3), 166 (3), 165 (2), 154 (3), 153 (6), 152 (4), 151 (1), 143 (3), 141 (5), 128 (5), 125 (11), 97 (45), 95 (5), 86 (72), 84 (100), 85 (18), 83 (29), 77 (14), 71 (11), 69 (17), 57 (24), 56 (26), 55 (21), 49 (18), 47 (31), 45 (12), 44 (56), 43 (40), 41 (32), 40 (26), 36 (31) (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}, 348.0361$; found, 348.0354 ). UV ( $5.4 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ in MeOH$) 271(\epsilon 17,040), 279$ $(17,960), 291(11,110)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 58.46 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.91$; $\mathrm{Br}, 22.88$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 58.59 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.01 ; \mathrm{Br}, 22.73$.

Impurity bands ( $R_{f} 0.7$ ) were collected from several similar experiments and repurified by TLC, using three elutions with $20 \%$ acetone in hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), to yield a trace of 39 as a clear, pale yellow oil, which ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR proved to be a $2: 1$ isomeric mixture: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.2-2.8(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.2-2.2(\mathrm{~m}, 0.33 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~d}, 0.67 \mathrm{H}$, $J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 0.33 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{bd}$, $0.67 \mathrm{H}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.61(\mathrm{bt}, 0.67 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.75(\mathrm{bt}, 0.33 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, 0.67 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, 0.33 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2960,2900,2840,1740,1715,1650,1600$, $1435,1325,1250,1220,1190,1160,1120,1020,820 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (minor isomer, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) 204.0, 168.4, 141.5, 138.8, 135.8, 131.5, 129.9, 125.7, 123.9, 120.2, 67.3, 51.2, 40.1, 39.0, 33.5, 29.9, 24.0; (major isomer, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) 202.4,169.0,141.6,139.6,136.1,131.5,129.9,125.7,123.7,121.0$, $68.5,51.7,41.6,38.8,33.1,28.9,22.7$; MS $350(0.6), 348(0.6), 322$ (0.7), $320(0.8), 318(0.5), 316(0.5), 291(1), 289(1), 262(2), 234(2)$, 232 (2), 169 (2), 168 (2), 167 (2), 166 (1), 165 (2), 154 (2), 152 (1), 122 (7), 121 (77), 119 (2), 105 (5), 101 (3), 99 (3), 91 (6), 87 (3), 85 (10), 83 (18), 77 (8), 73 (7), 71 (23), 69 (11), 67 (6), 59 (12), 58 (15), 57 (24), $55(28), 45(16), 44(14), 43$ (100), 42 (8), 41 (25) (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}, 348.0361$; found, 348.0368 ); UV ( $9.4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ in MeOH ) 258 ( $\epsilon 1600$ ), 263 (1700), 270 ( 1600 ).

Preparation of (E)-2-(Carbomethoxy)-2-(carbo-tert-butoxy-methyl)-3-(3-bromo-5,6-dihydronaphth-7-yl) cyclopentanone (38). To a stirred mixture of $\beta$-keto ester 37 ( $380.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $451.2 \mathrm{mg}, 3.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5.3 mL dry acetone at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added tert-butyl iodoacetate ( $351.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h and partitioned between ether $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 20 $\mathrm{mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic phases were washed with brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated in vacuo. TLC purification, using two elutions
of $30 \%$ acetone in hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), yielded the O -alkylation product ( 65.6 $\mathrm{mg}, R_{f} 0.5,13 \%$ ) as a pale yellow gum, and C-alkylation product 38 ( $434.2 \mathrm{mg}, R_{f} 0.6,86 \%$ ) also as a pale yellow gum, which was pure by analytic TLC and NMR.

38: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 2.04-2.16 (m, 2 H ), 2.28-2.56(m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=10.2,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.75(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.93$ (d, AB pattern, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.17 (d, AB pattern, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.35(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.27$ (bs, 1 H$), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 8.0 Hz ), $7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26$ (m, 2 H ); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 2940, 2860, 2820, 1755, 1725, 1720, 1640 (w), 1590, 1480, 1430, 1410, 1370, 1310, 1220, 1155, 1080, 970, 880, 850, 820; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 213.0,169.8,169.7,139.0,137.0,132.8,130.0$, 129.3, 127.2, 123.8, 120.1, 81.3, 61.4, 52.0, 50.4, 38.1, 37.6, 28.0, 27.8, 27.0, 23.3; MS 408 (7), 406 (8), 390 (7), 388 (6), 359 (3), 357 (2), 331 (7), 329 (8), 234 (3), 232 (2), 170 (4), 169 (2), 149 (3), 141 (3), 128 (3), 119 (2), 94 (4), 86 (34), 84 (53), 77 (14), 69 (10), 59 (10), 58 (39), 57 (54), 56 (14), 55 (18), 49 (10), 47 (12), 44 (14), 43 (100), 41 (33) [calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrO}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 406.0416$; found, 406.0405]. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrO}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 59.62 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.87$; $\mathrm{Br}, 17.25$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 59.73$; $\mathrm{H}, 5.93$; Br, 17.40.

Preparation of (E)-2-(Carbomethoxy)-3-[(E)-3-(1-ethoxyethoxy)-oct-1-en-1-yl]cyclopentanone (42). To a stirred solution of distilled ( $E$ ) $\mathbf{- 1 6}(49.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and distilled $O, N$-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide ( $116.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5, \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 0.7 mL of dry, deoxygenated $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was added (dppe) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$. The slurry was placed in a $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath and stirred for 3 h . The catalyst dissolved in 3 min , and the yellow solution gradually darkened to clear red. The reaction mixture was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and preparative TLC purification, using two elutions with $50 \%$ acetone in hexane ( $v / v$ ), yielded 42 ( $36.3 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%, R_{f} 0.65$ ), which was pure by analytical TLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and proven by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR to be a mixture of at least two diastereomers. Undistilled $(E)-16(67.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ underwent the same reaction in refluxing dioxane ( 0.7 mL ) with $O, N$-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide ( $160.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 16 h to provide 42 in $67 \%$, but much more Pd (dppe) $)_{2}$ ( $56.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was required. Undistilled ( $Z$ )-16, when subjected to either of the above conditions, was found to rearrange first to $(E)-16$ and then proceed to $\mathbf{4 2}$ in $68 \%$ with $12 \% \mathrm{Pd}$ (dppe) $)_{2}$ NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 0.88 (broad t, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.17 ( $\mathrm{t}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.19(\mathrm{t}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.2-1.3(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.3-1.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~m}$, $1.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.22 (dddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.2,6.0,5.2$, 1.5 Hz ), $3.3-3.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2.7 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{q}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86(\mathrm{q}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.98(\mathrm{nq}, 0.50 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.65(\mathrm{q}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.69(\mathrm{q}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.42(\mathrm{dd}$, $0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=16.2,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1760,1730,1220$, $1380,1340 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS ( 30 eV ) $340(0.0), 270(0.8), 191$ (2), 165 (3), 162 (3), 161 (10), 148 (2), 119 (2), 118 (2), 117 (2), 109 (3), 105 (4), 93 (4), 92 (4), 91 (10), 79 (14), 77 (11), 73 (100), 67 (5), 65 (3), 55 (11), 53 (5), 51 (4), 45 (56), 44 (94), 43 (30), 41 (19), 40 (75), 39 (11) (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{5}, 340.2250$; found: 340.2248 ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50.1 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 210.3 (s), 168.9 (s), [133.4, 132.7 (d)], [132.4, 130.9 (d)], [98.7, 96.8 (d)], [76.7, 76.2 (d)], 61.1 (d), [60.9, 59.0 (d)], 52.4 (q), [43.8, 43.6 (d)], $38.1(\mathrm{t}), 35.6(\mathrm{t}), 31.7(\mathrm{t}), 27.6(\mathrm{t}),[25.0,24.8(\mathrm{t})], 22.6(\mathrm{t}),[20.6$, 20.4 (q)], $[15.4,15.2$ (q)], 14.0 (q).

Preparation of (E)-2-(Carbomethoxy)-3-[(E)-3-hydroxyoct-1-en-1yllcyclopentanone (41). To a stirred solution of protected cyclopentanone 42 ( $32.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 0.2 mL distilled THF at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen was added a solution of $65 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ acetic acid in water $(1.9 \mathrm{~mL})$. The colorless solution was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h and at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , diluted with ether ( 15 mL ), and carefully neutralized with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 14 mL ). The aqueous phase was extracted with ether ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 5 mL ), dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated in vacuo to give essentially pure 41 ( $25.4 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%$ ). Preparative TLC purification, using two elutions with $50 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane ( $v / v$ ), yielded $41(21.3 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%)$ which was pure by analytical TLC and proven by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR to be a mixture of two diastereomers: NMR ( $270 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $0.88(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.02$ (m, 1 H), 1.1-1.5 (m, 9 H$), 1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77$ (d, 0.5 $\mathrm{H}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.79(\mathrm{~d}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, 0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=$ $12.0,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.06 (dd, $0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=12.0,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 0.15 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.28 $(\mathrm{s}, 0.15 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2.7 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{q}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.90(\mathrm{q}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.40\left(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.2,6.6,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ) $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3680$, $3600,3620-3400,1760,1730,1460,1440,1410,1340 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (30 eV): 237 (1), 236 (1), 219 (4), 218 (4), 192 (7), 165 (6), 161 (6), 150 (8), 149 (8), 148 (19), 139 (17), 133 (6), 123 (7), 121 (12), 109 (24), 107 (11), 105 (12), 96 (23), 94 (18), 93 (33), 91 (26), 83 (18), 81 (17), 80 (21), 79 (73), 78 (12), 77 (26), 71 (12), 68 (11), 67 (10), 55 (53), 44 (100), 43 (47), 41 (42), $40(36), 36$ (13); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50.1 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 210.5$ (s), 169.2 (s), 134.8 (d), $[130.5,130.4$ (d)], [72.4, 72.3 (d)], 61.2 (d), 52.4 (q), [43.7, 43.6 (d)], 38.1 (t), 37.3 (t), 31.7 (t), 27.6 (t), $25.0(\mathrm{t}), 22.6(\mathrm{t}), 14.0(\mathrm{q})$. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 67.14$; H, 9.01. Found: C, 66.95; H, 8.86.

Preparation of 2-(Carbomethoxy)-3-(propen-2-yl)cyclopentanone (43). To a mixture of ( $E$ )-15 ( $42.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (dppe) $)_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(13.2 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.01 mmol ) in a dry NMR tube at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 0.6 mL dry, deoxygenated $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$. The mixture was heated at $50-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and agitated periodically over 10 h . Preparative TLC purification, using two elutions with $25 \%$ acetone in hexane ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), yielded pure $43(27.0 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%)$ as a clear, pale yellow oil which was pure by analytical TLC and shown by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR to be an $E: Z$ (88:12) mixture: NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 1.5-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.8(\mathrm{~m}, 3.2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.68$ (bs, 0.24 H$), 4.85$ (bs, 1.76 H ); IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) 1760, 1730, 1660 , $1620,1440,1475,1350 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
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